Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology Publication Types: Research

doi:10.4317/medoral.24634

Sublingual gland neoplasms: clinicopathological study of 8 cases

Michał Gontarz ¹, Marta Urbańska-Gąsiorowska ², Jakub Bargiel ¹, Krzysztof Gąsiorowski ¹, Tomasz Marecik ¹, Paweł Szczurowski ¹, Jan Zapała ¹, Grażyna Wyszyńska-Pawelec ¹

¹ Department of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Cracow, Poland. University Hospital, Cracow, Poland

² Amethyst Radiotherapy Centre in Cracow, Poland

Correspondence:

Department of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery Jagiellonian University Medical College, University Hospital 2 Jakubowskiego Street, 30-688 Cracow, Poland michal.gontarz@uj.edu.pl

Received: 06/02/2021 Accepted: 21/06/2021 Gontarz M, Urbańska-Gąsiorowska M, Bargiel J, Gąsiorowski K, Marecik T, Szczurowski P, *et al.* Sublingual gland neoplasms: clinicopathological study of 8 cases. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2021 Sep 1;26 (5):e626-31.

Article Number:24634 http://www.medicinaoral.com/ © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946 eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com Indexed in: Science Citation Index Expanded Journal Citation Reports Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed Scopus, Embase and Emcare Indice Médico Español

Abstract

Background: Sublingual gland neoplasms are very rare and the majority of them are malignant. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical course, treatment, and outcomes of these uncommon neoplasms based on the authors' experience and the recent literature.

Material and Methods: The medical charts of 8 patients with primary epithelial sublingual gland tumors treated between 1994 and 2020 were reviewed.

Results: Malignant tumors comprised 75% (6/8) of cases. Adenoid cystic carcinoma was the most common (50%, 3/6) and characterized by high risk of local recurrence and lung metastasis. Pleomorphic adenoma was the only representative of benign tumors with no evidence of local recurrence in follow up.

Conclusions: Treatment of choice of sublingual gland tumors is surgery. However, due to the fact that adenoid cystic carcinoma is the most common malignancy with poor prognosis, surgical treatment should be combined with postoperative radiotherapy. Benign sublingual tumors are less common and treatment of choice in these cases is tumor resection together with sublingual gland.

Key words: Salivary gland neoplasms, sublingual gland, pleomorphic adenoma, adenoid cystic carcinoma, mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

Introduction

The sublingual glands are the smallest of the major salivary glands. The most common pathology of the sublingual glands is ranula comprising an extravasation of saliva from the sublingual gland due to trauma of Rivinus duct. Sublingual gland neoplasms are very rare and comprise about 1% of all epithelial salivary gland tumors (1). Unfortunately, most of them are malignant and adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) is the most common histological type followed by mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) (1-3). Other histological types such as acinic cell carcinoma, carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, polymorphous low grade adenocarcinoma, primary squamous cell carcinoma, salivary duct carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma, basal cell carcinoma, mucinous adenocarcinoma, papillary cystadenocarcinoma and NUT carcinoma are extremely rare (1,4-7). Benign tumors are usually represented by pleomorphic adenoma (PA) followed by basal cell adenoma (7).

This study presents case series of epithelial sublingual gland tumors in patients operated in 26-year period. Additionally, a review of the recent literature was conducted in order to evaluate epidemiological data of sublingual gland tumors.

Material and Methods

Between January 1994 and December 2020, 822 patients were treated for primary epithelial salivary gland tumors in the Department of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery of the Jagiellonian University in Cracow. The sublingual glands tumors were observed in 8 cases (0.97%). The medical charts of the patients were evaluated according to demographic characteristics, clinical presentation, histopathological aspects, methods of treatment, recurrences, follow-up, and outcomes. This study was approved by the institutional review board (No. 122.6120.287.2016). Because only medical files were obtained, the review board approved the study without the need for patient consent as long as all personal information was kept confidential. In addition to the review of medical charts, the English language literature published from January 2000 to December 2020 was reviewed to identify epidemiological data for these uncommon tumors.

Results

The group comprised 4 female patients and 4 male patients. The age of the patients ranged from 14 to 64 years, with an average of 43.1 years (median 46.5). Malignant tumors comprised 75% of cases (Fig. 1). All of the patients were of white ethnicity. Patient's characteristics, treatment and follow up are presented in Table 1.

Case	Age/ Sex	Com- plaints (months)	Clinical stage	Diagno- sis	Histopa- thology	Treatment	Neck dis- section/ positive nodes	Adjuvant treat- ment	Local re- currence (months)	Distant metastasis (months)	Fol- low up (months)
1	37/M	Painless tumor (36)	20x25mm	FNAC	PA	Resection of sublin- gual gland with tumor	No	No	No	No	NED (9)
2	14/F	Painless tumor (18)	40x20mm	FNAC	PA	Resection of sublin- gual gland with tumor	No	No	No	No	LFU (27)
3	23/F	Painfull tumor (7)	T4N0M1	Open biopsy	solid ACC	Resection of sublin- gual gland and lingual nerve + segmental mandibulectomy (30 weeks pregnant)	Bilateral SOHND/ No	Metasta- sectomy/ CTH	No	Lungs (5) new foci	DOD (20)
4	64/M	Painless tumor (6)	T3N1M0	Open biopsy	primary SCC	Resection of sublin- gual gland and lingual nerve + segmental mandibulectomy	Unilateral SOHND/ No	PORT	No	No	LFU (26)
5	61/M	Painless tumor (10)	T2N0M0	Open biopsy	cribriform ACC	Resection of sublin- gual gland and lingual nerve + marginal mandibulectomy	Unilateral SOHND/ No	PORT	Yes (92) excision + PORT	No	LFU (182)
6	56/F	Painless tumor (3)	T1N0M0	Open biopsy	low grade MEC	Resection of sublin- gual gland with tumor	No	No	No	No	NED (112)
7	57/F	Painless tumor (8)	T2N0M0	FNAC	cribriform ACC	Resection of sublin- gual gland and lingual nerve	Unilateral SOHND/ No	PORT	Yes (83) excision + PORT	No	LFU (102)
8	33/M	Painless tumor (15)	T3N0M0	Open biopsy	Ca ex PA	Resection of sublin- gual gland and lingual nerve + marginal mandibulectomy	Unilateral SOHND/ No	PORT	No	No	LFU (31)

Table 1: Clinical characteristic of patients with sublingual gland tumors.

M-male, F- female, FNAC- fine needle aspiration cytology, PA- pleomorphic adenoma, ACC- adenoid cystic carcinoma, SCC- squamous cell carcinoma, MEC- mucoepidermoid carcinoma, Ca ex PA- carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma, SOHND- supraomohyoid neck dissection, CTH- chemotherapy, PORT- postoperative radiotherapy, NED- no evidence of disease, LFU- lost to follow up, DOD- died of disease.



Fig. 1: Low grade adenoid cystic carcinoma, predominantly cribriform, with myxoid material in the pseudoglandular spaces. H&E stained. Magn. 880x.

Discussion

Sublingual gland neoplasms are rare. A few large series showing histological type of these tumors have been published in the recent literature (Table 2) (2-4,7-15). In some epidemiological studies authors did not observed sublingual tumors at all. Young profile of patient was characteristic in our cohort (mean age 43.1 years). The youngest patient was a 14 year old girl with PA, other 23-year old female with ACC was operated in the 30th week of pregnancy. However, in most studies mean age of patents is around 50-55 years (2,3,15,16).

In contrast to the parotid and submandibular glands, the percentage of malignant sublingual gland tumors is much higher, ranging from 75% to 100% in the literature. In our study, neoplasms of the sublingual gland accounted for approximately 1% (8/822) of cases, of which 75% (6/8) were malignant. In accordance with the literature review, the most common malignant neoplasm in the sublingual gland is an ACC. Based on our analysis ACC comprises 55.3% (269/487) of all and 61.1% (269/441) of malignant tumors (Table 2). Most of them are cribriform ACC followed by tubular and solid ACC (3,15,16). MEC is the second most common malignancy. In contrast to other sites high grade MEC is observed more common in sublingual gland (2,3,16). Based on the literature almost all histological malignancies can be observed in sublingual gland. However, they are extremely rare. On the other hand, in this cohort the only histologically benign tumor in the sublingual gland was a PA, the same as in other studies (3,4,15,16). In accordance with the literature PA comprises 7.4% (36/487) of all and 78.2% (36/46) of benign tumors (Table 2). Unusual benign tumors include: basal cell adenoma, myoepithelioma, cystadenoma and oncocytoma (2,7,9,11). Sublingual gland tumors are usually asymptomatic and cause a painless swelling in the floor of the mouth. They can be detected accidentally during a dental examination. Other symptoms reported by the patient include pain, problems with dental prosthesis retention and tongue numbness (1,17). Often, thorough clinical examination allows to determine the nature of the pathology in the area of the sublingual gland (Fig. 2).

First author	Years	No. of cases	Cases per year	Number of cases (%)						
				Malignant	ACC	MEC	Other	Benign	PA	Other
da Silva <i>et al.</i> (8)	1997-2017	16	0.8	12	6	3	3	4	4	0
Gao <i>et al</i> . (7)	1963-2012	185	3.7	172	108	28	36	13	8	5
Li et al. (9)	1955-2002	48	1.0	43	14	3	26	5	4	1
Lukšić et al. (10)	1985-2009	7	0.3	7	3	3	1	0	0	0
Shen <i>et al.</i> (11)	2007-2017	50	4.5	42	25	6	11	8	6	2
Tian <i>et al.</i> (12)	1985-2007	75	3.3	71	50	11	10	4	4	0
Tilakaratne et al. (13)	1990-2007	4	0.2	3	3	0	0	1	1	0
Wang et al. (14)	2004-2014	18	1.5	17	9	1	7	1	1	0
Sun <i>et al.</i> (2)	1998-2008	25	2.3	21	18	2	0	4	2	2
Huang et al. (3)	1993-2008	9	0.6	7	6	1	0	2	2	0
Zdanowski et al. (15)	1996-2007	13	1.0	12	8	1	3	1	1	0
Andreasen et al. (4)	1985-2015	29	0.9	28	16	5	7	1	1	0
Present study	1994-2019	8	0.3	6	3	1	2	2	2	0
Total No. (%)		487		441 (90.5)	269 (55.3)	65 (13.3)	107 (22.0)	46 (9.5)	36 (7.4)	10 (2.0)

Table 2: Distribution of sublingual gland tumors: review of the literature.



Fig. 2: Clinical pathology of the sublingual gland. a) Adenoid cystic carcinoma; b) Oral (simple) ranula; c) Sialolithiasis.

Computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance (MR) imaging allows the assessment of the solid or cystic nature of the tumor and possible infiltration of the mandibular body and eventual cervical metastases in case of malignancy. Histological diagnosis is most often established on the basis of fine-needle cytology (FNAC), characterized by 69.2% of sensitivity for malignancy or open biopsy (4). Surgical treatment is the treatment of choice for sublingual gland tumors. Benign neoplasms are excised with the entire sublingual gland by intraoral approach in order to reduce the risk of local recurrence and ranula development. Sublingual low grade cancers can be treated by local excision with the gland without elective neck dissection in clinically negative neck (cN0). High grade cancers with cN0 require elective neck dissection in III to I cervical levels due to the risk of occult metastasis. In clinically positive neck (cN+) comprehensive radical neck dissection should be consider (1,2). According to Spiro (17) tumors above 2 cm of diameter require pull-through, en bloc resection. Also due to the high incidence of ACC in sublingual gland and risk of perineural invasion lingual nerve should be resected with frozen section examination of the proximal nerve stump. In addition, in case of infiltration of periosteum, marginal mandibulectomy is sufficient but evident bone invasion requires segmental mandibulectomy. Defect reconstruction depends on its size. Small soft tissue defects can be easily closed by

transmandibular suturing or local flaps (facial artery musculomucosal flap- FAMM, deep lingual artery axial propeller flap) (17-19). Large soft tissue defects with marginal mandibulectomy can be reconstructed by free radial forearm flap (FRFF) (2). In case of mandibulectomy with wide soft tissue excision the reconstruction of choice are free flaps (deep circumflex iliac artery-DCIA bone flap with internal oblique muscle or free fibular flap with skin island- FFF).

Benign salivary gland tumors have excellent prognosis after complete surgical resection and there is no role for adjuvant radiotherapy. In early stage of low grade cancer as adenocarcinoma, mucoepidermoid carcinoma, acinic cell carcinoma postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) is not indicated if adequate margins are achieved (20-28). Patients with high-risk factors such as: high-grade, advanced-stage (T3 and more) lesions, positive surgical margins, perineural, vascular or lymphatic invasion, lymph node involvement especially extracapsular extension (ECE+), skin and nerve invasion and nearly all adenoid cystic carcinomas, benefit from PORT (20-30). PORT should be started before 6 weeks after surgery. Patients with high risk of locoregional recurrence with adverse features require 60-66 Gy in 30-33 fractions during 6-6.5 weeks. Low risk patients can be treated by 50-55 Gy (20). The radiation treatment volume encompasses the tumor bed and regional lymph nodes only if involved or thought to be at high risk. For adenoid cystic

carcinoma, neural pathways to the skull base are also included. Retrospective data do not provide evidence that adjuvant chemoradiotherapy is more effective than adjuvant radiotherapy (RT) alone. An analysis of over 2000 patients from the National Cancer Database failed to demonstrate any improvement in overall survival with the addition of chemotherapy to RT compared with RT alone (30). Definitive RT is reserved for patients who are medically inoperable or who have unresectable disease (27). Although there are no randomized clinical trials, observational data indicate that adjuvant RT improves both local control and survival for selected patients (28). In patients with ACC in current study we did not observe nodal metastasis in surgical specimen, similarly to Zdanowski et al. (15) research. However, positive lymph nodes in ACC in surgical specimen in different studies range from 11.1% to 50% (2-4). Long term follow up in patients with ACC is needed. In this study local recurrence of ACC was observed in long time period in two patients, 7 and 8 years after primary treatment. In accordance with the literature local recurrence of ACC is observed up to 35.3% of cases (2-4,15,16). Lung metastases are one of the main reasons of death in patients with ACC and are found in up to 33.3% of patients (3,4,15,16). For that reason, patients with ACC require CT of the chest at least once a year. In our material 23-year old female with ACC was operated in the 30th week of pregnancy with single lung metastasis. After childbirth, the patient had thoracotomy with metastasectomy followed by chemotherapy. Unfortunately, the patient developed new foci of lung metastases and died 20 months after surgery.

In conclusion, sublingual gland neoplasms are very rare, and in the vast majority are malignant. The peak of incidence is between 40 and 60 years. However, these tumors can be observed also in children and adolescents, without sex predilection. The most common histological type is ACC which requires surgical treatment combined with PORT. Benign sublingual tumors are less common and the treatment of choice in these cases is tumor resection together with sublingual gland.

References

1. Rinaldo A, Shaha AR, Pellitteri PK, Bradley PJ, Ferlito A. Management of malignant sublingual salivary gland tumors. Oral Oncol. 2004;40:2-5.

2. Sun G, Yang X, Tang E, Wen J, Lu M, Hu Q. The treatment of sublingual gland tumours. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010;39:863-8.

3. Huang TT, Chou YF, Wen YH, Chen PR. Resected tumours of the sublingual gland: 15 years' experience. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016;54:625-8.

4. Andreasen S, Bjørndal K, Agander TK, Wessel I, Homøe P. Tumors of the sublingual gland: a national clinicopathologic study of 29 cases. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2016;273:3847-56.

5. Blanchaert RH, Ord RA, Kumar D. Polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma of the sublingual gland. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1998;27:115-7. 6. Kornfehl J, Fichtenbauer H, Steiner E, Regele H, Strohmayer W. Primary squamous epithelial cancer of the sublingual gland. A case report. HNO. 1994;42:362-5.

7. Gao M, Hao Y, Huang MX, Ma DQ, Chen Y, Luo HY, et al. Salivary gland tumours in a northern Chinese population: a 50-year retrospective study of 7190 cases. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017;46:343-9.

8. da Silva LP, Serpa MS, Viveiros SK, Sena DAC, Pinho RF, Guimarães LDA, et al. Salivary gland tumors in a Brazilian population: A 20-year retrospective and multicentric study of 2292 cases. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2018;46:2227-33.

9. Li LJ, Li Y, Wen YM, Liu H, Zhao HW. Clinical analysis of salivary gland tumor cases in West China in past 50 years. Oral Oncol. 2008;44:187-92.

10. Lukšić I, Virag M, Manojlović S, Macan D. Salivary gland tumours: 25 years of experience from a single institution in Croatia. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2012;40:e75-81.

11. Shen SY, Wang WH, Liang R, Pan GQ, Qian YM. Clinicopathologic analysis of 2736 salivary gland cases over a 11-year period in Southwest China. Acta Otolaryngol. 2018;138:746-9.

12. Tian Z, Li L, Wang L, Hu Y, Li J. Salivary gland neoplasms in oral and maxillofacial regions: a 23-year retrospective study of 6982 cases in an eastern Chinese population. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010;39:235-42.

Tilakaratne WM, Jayasooriya PR, Tennakoon TM, Saku T. Epithelial salivary tumors in Sri Lanka: a retrospective study of 713 cases.
Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2009;108:90-8.
Wang X, Meng L, Hou T, Huang S. Tumours of the salivary glands in northeastern China: a retrospective study of 2508 patients. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015;53:132-7.

15. Zdanowski R, Dias FL, Barbosa MM, Lima RA, Faria PA, Loyola AM, et al. Sublingual gland tumors: clinical, pathologic, and therapeutic analysis of 13 patients treated in a single institution. Head Neck. 2011;33:476-81.

16. Yu T, Gao QH, Wang XY, Wen YM, Li LJ. Malignant sublingual gland tumors: a retrospective clinicopathologic study of 28 cases. Oncology. 2007;72:39-44.

17. Spiro RH. Treating tumors of the sublingual glands, including a useful technique for repair of the floor of the mouth after resection. Am J Surg. 1995;170:457-60.

18. Joseph ST, Naveen BS, Mohan TM. Islanded facial artery musculomucosal flap for tongue reconstruction. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017;46:453-5.

19. Cordova A, Toia F, D'Arpa S, Giunta G, Moschella F. A new mucosal propeller flap (deep lingual artery axial propeller): the renaissance of lingual flaps. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2015;135:584e-94e.

20. Pfister DG, Spencer S, Adelstein D, Adkins D, Anzai Y, Brizel DM, et al. Head and Neck Cancers, Version 2.2020, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2020;18:873-98.

21. Perez DE, Pires FR, Alves Fde A, Almeida OP, Kowalski LP. Sublingual salivary gland tumors: clinicopathologic study of six cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2005;100:449-53. 22. Bell RB, Dierks EJ, Homer L, Potter BE. Management and outcome of patients with malignant salivary gland tumors. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005;63:917-28.

23. Chou C, Zhu G, Luo M, Xue G. Carcinoma of the minor salivary glands: results of surgery and combined therapy. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1996;54:448-53.

24. Terhaard CH, Lubsen H, Rasch CR, Levendag PC, Kaanders HHAM, Tjho-Heslinga RE, et al. The role of radiotherapy in the treatment of malignant salivary gland tumors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2005;61:103-11.

25. Chen AM, Bucci MK, Weinberg V, Garcia J, Quivey JM, Schechter NR, et al. Adenoid cystic carcinoma of the head and neck treated by surgery with or without postoperative radiation therapy: prognostic features of recurrence. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;66:152-9. 26. Cianchetti M, Sandow PS, Scarborough LD, Morris CG, Kirwan J, Werning JW, et al. Radiation therapy for minor salivary gland carcinoma. Laryngoscope. 2009;119:1334-8.

27. Mendenhall WM, Morris CG, Amdur RJ, Werning JW, Villaret DB. Radiotherapy alone or combined with surgery for salivary gland carcinoma. Cancer. 2005;103:2544-50.

28. Mahmood U, Koshy M, Goloubeva O, Suntharalingam M. Adjuvant radiation therapy for high-grade and/or locally advanced major salivary gland tumors. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;137:1025-30.

29. Chen Y, Zheng ZQ, Chen FP, Yan JY, Huang XD, Li F, et al. Role of postoperative radiotherapy in nonmetastatic head and neck adenoid cystic carcinoma. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2020;18:1476-84.

30. Amini A, Waxweiler TV, Brower JV, Jones BL, McDermott JD, Raben D, et al. Association of adjuvant chemoradiotherapy vs radiotherapy alone with survival in patients with resected major salivary gland carcinoma: Data from the National Cancer Data Base. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016;142:1100-10.

Funding

None declared.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethics

This study was approved by the institutional review board (No. 122.6120.287.2016).

Authors contributions

MG, JZ and GWP were responsible by conception and design of the study. MG, JB, KG, TM, and PS performed data collection and analysis of results. MG, MUG and GWP drafted the main manuscript text, and MG revised the manuscript. The final version of the manuscript was read and approved by all authors.