Complications of guided surgery and immediate loading in oral implantology: A report of 12 cases
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Abstract
Objectives: The growing interest in minimally invasive surgery, together with the possibility of fitting prostheses with immediate function, have led to the development of software capable of planning and manufacturing a surgical guide and prosthesis that can be placed upon conclusion of the implant surgery step. The present study evaluates the surgical and prosthetic complications of implant treatment with the guided surgery technique, together with patient comfort during and after treatment.

Patients and methods: A retrospective observational study was made of 19 patients with partially or totally edentulous upper and/or lower maxillae, involving the placement of a total of 122 implants. All cases were planned and operated upon with the guided surgery technique.

Results: A total of 122 implants were placed in 14 males and 5 females. The intraoperative surgical complications comprised a lack of primary stability, while the postoperative complications consisted of infections and a lack of implant osteointegration. Ten implants failed. The prosthetic complications in turn comprised loosening of the provisional prosthesis screws, prosthesis tooth fracture, and a lack of passive fit of the immediate prosthesis. The degree of patient satisfaction was evaluated using a verbal scale.

Conclusions: Implant restoration with the guided surgery technique and immediate functional loading is a predictable procedure, provided patient selection and the surgical technique are adequate, affording lesser postoperative morbidity and increased patient satisfaction thanks to the immediate restoration of esthetics and function.
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Introduction
The growing interest in flapless implant surgery, together with the possibility of fitting prostheses with immediate function in edentulous patients, have led to the development of software capable of planning and manufacturing a surgical guide and prosthesis that can be placed immediately after implant insertion (1).

Following flap raising, bone reabsorption takes place, and the resulting reduction in crest height is related to the bone width of the zone involved (2). The flapless technique maintains the periosteum and blood supply to the bone, avoids modification of the gingival profile following the contour of the surgical incision, and shortens the surgery time (3,4). This technique usually increases the success of immediate loading due to preservation of the blood supply, and reduces postoperative discomfort for the patients (3).

Careful implant placement in the surgical bed, taking into account both the patient anatomy and the type of definitive prosthesis involved, is a key factor for treatment success (5,6). The surgical guide marks the appropriate direction of implant insertion, and can be used not only in situations characterized by anatomical limitations but also under favorable conditions with a view to avoiding possible manual error – thus meeting the requirements for precise prosthetic restoration (6). With this methodology it is possible to avoid inadequate implant positioning – the latter together with mechanical overload being the factors that increase the risk of implant failure and of defects in the esthetic and functional outcome of the restoration (7). The radiological diagnostic study allows us to evaluate the anatomical characteristics of the upper and lower maxillae. Transfer of the data obtained from imaging explorations such as computed tomography (CT) to software such as Nobel Guide® (Nobel Biocare, Göteborg, Sweden), Simplant® (Materialise Dental, Madrid, Spain), Facilitate® (Astra Tech, S.A, Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain) or Neoguide® (Nobel Biocare, Göteborg, Sweden) is improving the three-dimensional (3D) planning of treatment, thereby ensuring controlled implant insertion through assisted or guided surgery (8,9).

In this type of surgery, both the safety and success of treatment depend on accuracy. In implantology, the documentation on this particular variable is still limited, however. In this context, the literature describes coronal or apical deviations of whatever under 1 mm, with scant variability (10,11). Other authors such as van Steenberghe et al. (12) have shown that the deviations may reach up to 2.7 mm – deviation of the planned angle with respect to the angle obtained after implant placement being less than three degrees. The present study evaluates the surgical and prosthetic complications of implant treatment with the computer guided surgery technique (Nobel Guide®), together with patient comfort during and after treatment.

Patients and Methods
A retrospective observational study was made of 19 patients (14 males and 5 females, aged 45-79 years) with partially or totally edentulous upper and/or lower maxillae, involving the placement of a total of 122 implants (Table 1).

Table 1. Number and location of the implants in each case and failed implants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>Implant number and location</th>
<th>Failed implants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper maxilla</td>
<td>Mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minimally invasive surgery was used in all cases, employing a surgical guide obtained after surgical planning with the software package (Nobel Guide®). This program allows the planning of implant placement thanks to three-dimensional reconstruction of the CT images. The study variables were patient age, gender, number of implants, their location, the type of provisional and definitive prostheses involved, the time wearing the provision prosthesis, surgical and prosthetic complications, and the number of failed implants and their location (Fig. 1).

We also registered patient comfort using a verbal scale (0=poor, 1=regular, 2=good, 3=very good, 4=excellent). The patients were questioned about the immediate postoperative course and the comfort of the provisional and definitive prostheses.

The data obtained were subjected to descriptive analysis using the SPSS version 15.0 statistical package (license of the University of Barcelona, Spain).

**Results**

A total of 122 implants were placed in 14 males and 5 females with a mean age of 61.9 years. A total of 92 implants (75.4%) were placed in the upper maxilla and 30 (24.6%) in the mandible (Table 1).

Ten of the 122 implants failed (8.2%), corresponding to 5 patients. Four upper maxillary implants failed (3.2%) in three patients, versus 6 in the mandible (4.9%) (3 in one patient and 3 in another) (Table 1). All failures occurred in totally edentulous subjects and after immediate loading. In 70% of the cases (n = 7), failure was due to a lack of osteointegration, while infection was the cause in the remaining 30% (n = 3). In these cases the implants were removed, followed by replacement using a conventional flap technique 2-4 months later. The implants that failed because of infection had been inserted in locations different from those initially planned.

The provisional prosthesis was a resin bridge in two cases (13.3%) and a hybrid prosthesis in 14 (73.3%). In one of the cases a complete lower prosthesis was placed as provisional measure, while no provisional prosthesis was placed in the remaining two patients. Delayed loading was decided in 5 cases. The mean time wearing the provisional prosthesis was 7.5 months (range 4-13 months).

In the cases of implant failure, the definitive prosthesis was not placed until osteointegration was considered complete (after 3-8 months), and during this period the patients continued to wear the planned prosthesis, but without loading of the implants repositioned in the zones where failure had occurred. In case number 2, all three implants were replaced after a waiting period of 3-4 months, and classical prosthetic restoration was applied in wait of definitive passive osteointegration (between 3-4 months).

![Fig. 1. Guided surgery with immediate loading. (A) Minimally invasive surgery using a software-generated surgical guide. (B) Postoperative view after implant placement in the upper maxilla. (C) View of the upper prosthesis immediate. (D) Final view after surgery and fitting of the upper prosthesis with immediate loading.](image-url)
The intraoperative surgical complications comprised a lack of primary stability in 5 cases (26.3%), thus precluding programmed immediate loading, and technical difficulties caused by limited oral aperture in one patient. The postoperative complications in turn consisted of a lack of implant osteointegration that led to the failure of 7 implants in four subjects. In one case an implant was lost due to infection, and on raising the flap and examining the adjacent implants, important bone dehiscence caused us to remove two more. All the implants were posteriorly successfully replaced by open surgery. Ten cases (52.6%) showed no prosthetic complications. The most frequent such complications were screw loosening of the provisional prosthesis (2 cases, 10.5%)(in only one of them was a cemented prosthesis designed), fracture of the prosthesis or of some of the prosthetic teeth (2 cases, 10.5%), a lack of passive fit of the immediate prosthesis (1 case, 5.3%), and implant pain (1 case, 5.3%). Lastly, in one case implant angulation was not adequate and an angled abutment was positioned. In four cases (21.1%) a lack of primary stability precluded the placement of an immediate provisional prosthesis.

Patient comfort during the immediate postoperative period was described as poor in one case (5.3%), good in 8 (42.1%), very good in 6 (31.6%), and excellent in four (21.1%). In turn, patient satisfaction with the immediate prosthesis was described as poor in one case (5.3%), regular in two (10.5%), good in 5 (26.3%), very good in 9 (47.4%), and excellent in two (10.5%). Lastly, patient satisfaction with the definitive prosthesis was described good in 6 cases (31.6%), very good in 9 (47.4%), and excellent in four (21.1%).

Discussion

Computed radiological imaging guided surgery in implantology aims to plan the operation and its execution with the greatest precision possible (3). Thanks to the three-dimensional diagnostic study it is possible to perform minimally invasive surgery without the need for conventional supracrestal incision or the raising of a mucoperiosteal flap – thereby favoring good sealing of the peri-implant tissues from the start (4,13). Cannizzaro et al. (14) reported a 91.9% success rate in 33 patients with edentulous upper maxillae subjected to flapless surgery and involving immediate loading protocols. In our series the true success rate was 69.5%, since in 31.5% of the cases immediate loading was not carried out due to a lack of primary stability, the existence of parafunctional habits, or (in one patient) because the objective from the start was to perform guided surgery with delayed loading. It should be taken into account that despite the benefits of this technique, it cannot be used when immediate post-extraction implant placement is planned; soft tissue redistribution is not possible; and leveling of the alveolar crest to create a platform for positioning the head of the implant cannot be done. In many cases, all these factors are essential for future peri-implant health and for the ultimate esthetic outcome.

According to some authors, the accuracy of computer guided implant surgery can be comparable to that of standard treatment, since a deviation of 1 mm is irrelevant when considering the recommendation to maintain a minimum safety margin of 2 mm between the implant and noble structures (10). However, other studies have found that the deviation may reach 2.7 mm and thus affect the safety margin in some cases (12).

It moreover should be taken into account that this technique may present an accumulation of small errors at different points during the planning process and in application of the surgical technique. As an example, error may occur during CT scanning (since structural differences of under 1 mm are often not recognized), in manufacturing the surgical guide, and in stabilizing the guide. Accordingly, any deviation results in implant placement at other levels of emergence, and axis deviation may also occur due to the length of the drill. In some cases, the guide (with a thickness of 10 mm), does not allow us to place some implants in the posterior zones due to limited oral aperture of the patient (6,10,12,15).

Although the Nobel Guide® system is equipped with provisional abutments that can be inserted between the prosthesis and the implants to compensate minor vertical discrepancies and modifications in angulation, it cannot compensate horizontal deviations — thereby making immediate placement of the prosthesis impossible, unless it is segmented (16,17). We did not observe this complication in our series. In contrast, we recorded other problems such as a lack of primary stability, marginal bone loss and a lack of osteointegration that likewise did not allow immediate placement of the prosthesis as originally planned. Other complications were screw loss or loosening, and fracture of the prosthesis or of some of its elements. These problems may be related to defective occlusal fitting and/or the existence of parafunctional habits (16,18). The most common complication in our study was loosening of the provisional prosthesis, followed by prosthesis tooth fracture, and a lack of passive fit. There were also cases of bone reabsorption that exceeded the limits for success, and a lack of implant osteointegration. According to Misch et al. (19), such problems may be due to a lack of rigidity of the prosthetic structure, which lacks a metallic base. This would increase the risk of prosthesis fractures, which in turn may adversely affect primary stability of the implants.

On the other hand, although the definitive prosthesis could be manufactured with these systems, some authors such as Bedrossian (1) recommend placing a provisional prosthesis first, since this allows patients to inform of their functional and esthetic needs before
the definitive prosthesis is prepared. We agree with this opinion, for in addition to allowing the final prosthesis to be adjusted to the patient needs, satisfaction with the result of treatment is improved – since most of the prosthetic complications occur during the period in which the patient wears the provisional restoration. This would also favor the cost-efficacy ratio of treatment, since the clinician is able to detect and solve the possible complications before manufacturing the definitive metal base prosthesis. Implant restoration with the guided surgery technique and immediate functional loading is therefore a predictable procedure, provided patient selection and the surgical technique are adequate. A thorough preoperative workup is essential for planning the number and type of implants, as well as the optimum location of implant placement, considering the anatomy of the zone targeted for restoration and the existing bone quality. Prior planning of the definitive prosthesis design is required, with posterior manufacture based on the three-dimensional imaging data, and which will facilitate the preparation of a guide for the surgical intervention. This technique results in lesser postoperative morbidity, since surgery is minimally invasive, and increased patient satisfaction is ensured thanks to the immediate restoration of esthetics and function.
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