ABSTRACT
Osteochondroma is the most common benign neoplasia of the skeleton. In the head it was been described in cranial base, posterior maxillary surface, maxillary sinus, and different mandibular areas like condyle, ramus, body and symphysis. Osteochondroma of the coronoid process are rare.
We present a review of the literature and the report of the case of a 44 years old female patient presenting limited mouth opening and swelling of the left cheek, with diffuse limits, bony consistency, painless, and covered of normal skin. No temporomandibular joint disease was present. In panoramic radiographs was evident a coronoid tumor localized in the union of zigomatic arch and bone. Under general anesthesia coronoidectomy was made, recovering mouth opening until 43 mm. The post-operative period was performed without complications. Histopathological examinations revealed normal trabecular bone covered with hyaline cartilage. The histopathological diagnosis was osteochondroma.
Clinical and pathological aspects, treatment and differential diagnosis with other lesions are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION
Osteochondroma is the most common benign neoplasia of the skeleton. It is more frequently found in long bones due to the endochondral growth. It has been described in the head, on the cranial base, jaw, maxillary sinuses, condyle, ramus, body and symphyseal mandibular region. Coronoid process is a low frequent site. This benign tumor grows slowly. Distinctive signs are limited mouth opening and facial deformity. For diagnosis a panoramic radiograph and CT scan are useful in order to visualize the existing relations between the zygomatic arch and the coronoid process. Histologically, most of the lesions show growing bone surrounded by cartilages. There are just fifteen cases of coronoid osteochondroma in the related literature (4,5). The aim of this article is to present a case of an osteochondroma of the coronoid process.

CLINICAL CASE
A forty-four age female patient, sent to our unit due to a zygomatic region swelling and progressive limitation of mouth opening during the ten past months. No relevant medical history. Extraoral physical exam shows facial asymmetry due to left cheek swelling (Figure 1), with diffuse limits, osseous consistence with no pain, and normal skin aspect. 30 mm. mouth opening. Normal temporomandibular joint (TMJ). There was no noise or pain when opening. A large coronoid process was observed in the panoramic X ray (figure 2). The hypothesis was “Coronoid Tumor”. An incision was performed on the anterior border of the mandibular ramus, extracting all the inserts of the temporal muscle. A fibrous pseudo capsule was found around the mass and was released. Coronoidectomy was performed. Mouth opening was recovered up to 43 mm immediately. The follow up recovery time developed without complications and the patient was discharged 48 hours after the surgical procedure.

Hystopathologic study reported the presence of fibers, neoformative bone and cartilaginous hyaline tissue (Figura 3). The diagnosis was Osteochondroma. She presented a non complicated follow up. Definitive discharge was after ten months, with recovering normal cheek contour.

DISCUSSION
Osteochondroma is low frequent pathological entity. Mouth opening limitation consecutive to it is associated to the dysfunctional pathology of tempomandibular articulation, as shown in the consulted literature (6). Solitary osteochondroma is an exophytic lesion of the bone that presents variable quantities of cartilaginous tissue whose clinical aspect resembles a mandibular condyle (2). Sometimes, cartilaginous
and osseous tissues present an active development, whereas in some other cases such development is diminished. Due to this variability, this lesion has received different names, such as “0steocartilaginous exostosis” or “osteochondroma”. Deformity of nearby structures was reported in a 60%. Several theories have been proposed to explain its etiology. Weinmann y Sicher (7) propose that the continuous activity of tendons inserted in the coronoids stimulates a hyperplastic development of embryonaric cells with chondrogenic potential. Litchenstein (1) proposes the periost to have pluritopotenciality to produce cartilage and osseous tissue. Other causes could be trauma and functional alterations in shaped and structure of coronoid process. However, it has not been concluded whether Osteochondroma is a neoplasia (8-11) or an osseous repair. Independently from etiology, the treatment’s goal will be to recover acceptable mouth opening ranges. In cases of limited mouth opening due to coronoid process, the patient presents complaint in that region, more than in the TMJ. Next, the dynamic mandibular evaluation is performed. As complement to the clinical exam an X ray is helpful in assessing a diagnoses for this pathology. Although Water’s radiograph is useful to show coronoid hyperplasia and its relation to the zygoma, tridimensional reconstruction is essential to complete the diagnosis, determine tumor’s size, its relation to near structures, and planning the surgery (2, 3, 6). Until 1961, almost all reported tumors were enucleated via zygomatic facial approach, with or without separation of the zygomatic arch. Using this approach the facial nerve is in risk, an remaining as well an unacceptable, non esthetic scar(3). Intraoral approach is the most used, for safety and facilitates the complete removal of the tumor. Its advantage is provide direct access to the lesion without facial nerve injuries or aesthetic alteration (3, 6). Other possibility are extraoral approaches such as submandibular or coronal approach(6, 13). Disadvantages of this approaches are the mandibular nerve injury and submandibular scar. In cases of large coronoid process trapped in the zygomatic arch, the resection must be done by coronal approach, with the advantage of better visualization and esthetic scar within the line of hair. In addition, temporal muscle flap can be used when requiring TMJ reconstruction during the same surgery (3, 6). Concerning the treatment, there is agreement on the only therapeutic alternative, that is based on surgical removal without reconstruction. In contrast to mandible condylar osteochondroma that do require reconstruction, most of the cases the coronoidectomy doesn’t show recurrence (6). Our case presents the features of coronoid process osteochondromas. However, there are differences worth to take into consideration: our patient seeks advice at the age of forty-four, a rare age for this kind of lesions with no articular pathology. We cannot be accurate about the etiology of this neoplasia, but we can fasten that she presented a very active development in contrast to most of the cases seen in literature.

Concerning the radiographic features of this case, we choose an extraoral approach that gave us a proper field for the coronoidectomy, used in the majority of the reported cases in the reviewed literature.
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