

# Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry

eISSN 1989-5488

## PEER REVIEW PROCESS

Once a manuscript is received by the journal via our website ([www.jced.es](http://www.jced.es)), the Editor assigns it to one of the Associate Editors, depending on the topic addressed by the article. There is at least one Associate Editor for each of the different areas.

<http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/01.pdf>

The Associate Editor in turn selects at least two reviewers from the editorial board, with expertise on the topic involved. Once the reviewers produce their report and recommendations, the Associate Editor makes his/her own decision, with three possible verdicts: modification, reject or acceptance. The decision of the Associate Editor is then forwarded to the Editor who, based on the reports of the Associate Editor and of the two reviewers, issues a final decision on the article.

Peer review bias is one of the major focuses of today's scientific assessment of the literature. Various types of peer review bias include content-based bias, confirmation bias, bias due to conservatism, bias against interdisciplinary research, publication bias, and the bias of conflicts of interest. Consequently, peer review would benefit from various changes and improvements with appropriate training of reviewers to provide quality reviews to maintain the quality and integrity of research without bias. Thus, an appropriate, transparent peer review is not only ideal, but necessary for the future to facilitate scientific progress.

<https://www.painphysicianjournal.com/current/pdf?article=MjIzMQ%3D%3D&journal=86>