Sialolith and adenoid cystic carcinoma in the submandibular gland. A rare case
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Abstract
Salivary calculi occur most frequently in the submandibular gland of the human beings. It is because of the specific anatomy of both the glands and its duct. Presentation typically consists of a painful swelling of the gland at meal times, when the effect of obstruction is most acute. The clinical signs, in most of times, can lead us to the diagnosis easily. The coexistence of sialolith and malignant tumors are very rare. This case report is about a male patient of 45 year with a swelling in the submandibular region for the last eighteen months with an intermittent episode of moderate to severe pain which increased during a mealtime and diagnosed as sialolith on radiograph, but on biopsy proved to be adenoid cystic carcinoma along with sialolith. This case report describes the coexistence of sialolithiasis and adenoid cystic carcinoma in the submandibular gland with advantages and limitations of various imaging studies to be used by health care professionals prior to reaching definitive conclusion.
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Introduction
Sialolithiasis is a common cause of salivary gland disease characterized by the occurrence of sialolith in the different parts of salivary glands with a prevalence rate of approximately 1.2% (1). Sialoliths are calcified structures that develop within the salivary ductal system. Sialoliths are hard formations with round or oval shape and a variety of sizes (2). Some 80-90% of calculi are found in the submandibular gland, 5-10% in the parotid and approximately 0-5% in the sublingual and other minor salivary glands (3). Stones preferentially affect men, with a peak incidence in the fourth and fifth decades (2). Presenting symptoms as a result of ductal obstruction includes episodic swelling and postprandial pain (4). Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) is one of the most common malignant tumors of epithelial origin in the submandibular gland and constitutes 30 to 40% of malignant tumors of minor salivary glands. It is characterized by a high tendency of recurrence, early nerve infiltrations and hematogenous metastasis (5). The coexistence of sialolith and malignancies has been reported for parotid but not for submandibular gland (6). The aim of this case is to report the coexistence of sialolithiasis and adenoid cystic carcinoma of the submandibular gland. However, the use of conventional imaging techniques can be misleading as seen in the present case. Advanced imaging studies are useful to health care professionals where they feel high suspicion index before operating in patient with sialolithiasis.

Case Report
A 45 year old male noticed a swelling in the submandibular region for the last 18 months. He reported to department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology one year back with the same problem and was diagnosed sialolith in relation to left submandibular gland but did not report for further treatment. Presently patient has moderate to severe pain which increase during mealtimes and swelling in the same region with an occasional feeling of numbness. He had undergone extraction of lower left second molar two years back. A hard and tender swelling in the left submandibular region of approximately 1 x 1.5 cm was palpated (Figure 1). Intra oral examination revealed scanty salivary flow from the duct of the left submandibular gland. The medical, social and family histories were unremarkable. A complete blood investigation revealed no abnormal finding. The orthopantomogram revealed a well defined radiopaque mass on the left lower border of the mandible (Figure 2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMAGING TECHNIQUES</th>
<th>PRINCIPLE</th>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>DISADVANTAGES</th>
<th>USES (in relation to salivary glands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conventional radiograph</strong></td>
<td>Beam of photons exit an object and exposes an x-ray film thus changes the photosensitive silver halide crystals. These chemically altered silver bromide crystals constitute the latent (invisible) image on the film. The developing process converts the latent image into visible radiographic image.</td>
<td>Easy to perform as compared to conventional sialography. Develops in short time. Less patient compliance. Records can be maintained for long time.</td>
<td>10%–20% of sialoliths in the submandibular gland or duct are not radiopaque and therefore, are not visible on radiographs. Calcified phlebolithiasis, hemangiomas and lymph nodes may mimic sialoliths on radiographs.</td>
<td>Sialoliths present in anterior two third of submandibular duct can be imaged frequently by both IOPA (Intraoral periapical radiograph) and extra-oral radiography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultrasound</strong></td>
<td>When sound waves above 20,000 Hz meet the object, the density changes and are partly reflected and received back by transducer and an image is made.</td>
<td>It is noninvasive and can be performed in patients with acute sialadenitis. Moreover, there is no radiation exposure.</td>
<td>Small (3mm diameter) sialoliths may not be detected with ultrasound because they may not produce a dorsal acoustic shadow, depending on their chemical composition.</td>
<td>Helpful in determining salivary gland tumors, solid and cystic masses, autoimmune sialadenitis and sialoliths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CT Scan</strong></td>
<td>Collimated fan shaped X-ray beam, directed towards object to a series of ionization chambers. Number of photons exiting from patient is measured for construction of image.</td>
<td>Different planes can be visualized. Greater sensitivity. Changes less than 1% can be visualized.</td>
<td>Radiation dose higher than radiography procedures. Expensive machine. Great difficulty in imaging metallic objects.</td>
<td>Useful in evaluating salivary glands and adjacent parts. Assessing acute inflammatory process and sialoliths.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A patient underwent an ultrasound that revealed a stone at the terminal end of the submandibular gland and dilated duct. We could not do a CT and MRI as it was not possible in our setup and the patient could not afford it in other laboratories. The patient was scheduled for surgical removal of the gland and stone along with modified radical neck dissection under general anesthesia. The gross examination of the excised tissue showed the gland which was strongly adhering to the neighboring tissues. The stone was hard and yellow in color. Histological analysis revealed islands of basaloid epithelial cells that contain multiple cylindric cyst like spaces resembling ‘Swiss cheese’. These spaces contain mild basophilic mucoid material. In addition, some areas exhibit hyalinized material surrounding these cribriform islands. The tumor cells were small cuboidal exhibiting deeply basophilic nuclei and little cytoplasm (Figure 3). A final diagnosis of adenoid cystic carcinoma was rendered following confirmation by histopathology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Radiofrequency waves causes the nuclei to tilt away from magnetic field, as the nuclei return to their alignment, gives off minute radio signals in 3D by which image is constructed.</th>
<th>It offers better resolution than CT scan. Non-invasive. No ionizing radiation. Direct multiplanar imaging can be done without re-orienting patient.</th>
<th>Long imaging time. Contraindicated in patients with pacemaker and cerebral implants. Relatively expensive. Can induce claustrophobia in patients. Minor physiological changes in length of cardiac cycle.</th>
<th>Evaluating salivary glands and adjacent parts better than CT Scan. Assess acute inflammatory process better. Contraindicated in acute inflammatory conditions such as sialadenitis and non-functioning gland. Can not be used in intraparenchymal stones and ductal lumen which cannot be enlarged to 1.3 mm. Screening of ductal system to rule out any residual calculi after surgical sialolithotomy. Remove calculus of diameter less than 7 mm in Wharton’s duct and 5 mm in Stensen’s duct. When calculus removal by conventional method is hazardous to perform as in posterior portion of Wharton’s duct with its proximity to lingual nerve.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sialoendoscopy</td>
<td>Small flexible tube with a light and lens on one end is used to visualize the body cavity.</td>
<td>Minimally invasive technique. Remove sialolith from deeper portion of the ducts and within the glands and opening of strictures, kinks and duct strictures. Identify radiolucent stones, polyps, stenosis, mucous plugs and foreign bodies. Surrounding tissues are minimally damaged.</td>
<td>Contraindicated in acute inflammatory conditions such as sialadenitis and non functioning gland. Can not be used in intraparenchymal stones and ductal lumen which cannot be enlarged to 1.3 mm. Screening of ductal system to rule out any residual calculi after surgical sialolithotomy. Remove calculus of diameter less than 7 mm in Wharton’s duct and 5 mm in Stensen’s duct. When calculus removal by conventional method is hazardous to perform as in posterior portion of Wharton’s duct with its proximity to lingual nerve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CT-** Computed tomography  
**MRI-** Magnetic resonance imaging  

**Table 1. A comparison between various imaging techniques**

---

**Fig. 3.** Haematoxylin & Eosin section showing islands of basaloid epithelial cells containing multiple cylindric cyst like spaces resembling ‘Swiss cheese’ suggestive of Adenoid cystic carcinoma
Discussion
Sialolithiasis accounts for half of major salivary gland disease which can be asymptomatic for a long time. Sialoliths are usually composed of round organic cores which are intensely calcified and are surrounded by alternative layers of organic and inorganic substances (7). Ductal inflammation as well as increased viscosity and stasis of saliva have been suggested as predisposing factors. The increased viscosity and mineral content of the submandibular saliva and upward, curved path of the submandibular duct predispose to stone formation in this gland (8). It is important to differentiate sialolithiasis from tumor of the salivary gland. The coexistence of sialolith and malignancies like multiple myeloma and lymphoma has been reported for parotid but not for submandibular gland (6,9).

Adenoid cystic carcinoma is a rare tumor and form about 1% of all malignant tumors of the oral and maxillofacial region (10). The female to male ratio is approximately 3:2. Adenoid cystic carcinoma can occur in any salivary gland, but approximately 50% develop within the minor salivary glands with parotid the most common site of occurrence (11). In the submandibular gland, this tumor accounts for 12% to 17% of all tumors (12).

A possibility of tumor was ruled out for this patient because swelling, pain and paresthesia were not constant and a radiopaque nodule was detected in OPG. Moreover stones most commonly occur in the submandibular gland between 80 to 90% (3). Conventional radiographic methods like orthopantomogram (OPG) are still widely used in detection of calcification which is sometimes misleading as seen in our case. Recent imaging techniques like Ultrasound (US), Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), Sialography, Digital sialography, Digital subtraction sialography, CT sialography and MR Sialography add substantial new information of glandular and ductal changes as compared to use conventional radiograph (13). Various imaging techniques are compared in Table1. Overall, digital sialography continues to be the standard technique for imaging of the submandibular duct and the intraglandular ductal system (14). If acute sialadenitis is present or insertion of a cannula into the submandibular duct is not successful. In such cases, noninvasive MR sialography with adequate evoked salivation as a natural contrast material provides an excellent alternative (15), especially when performed with a combination of RARE (Rapid Acquisition with Relaxation-Enhancement) and 3D CISS (Constructive Interference in Steady-State) sequences. Furthermore, MR Sialography is superior to US with regard to the detection of sialoliths, as it allows delineation of small anatomic structures and is noninvasive also (14). To conclude, a full diagnostic work up with the help of various radiological and histopathological techniques is required before making a confirmed diagnosis.

References