The application of diode laser in the treatment of oral soft tissues lesions. A literature review
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Abstract
Background: Since its appearance in the dental area, the laser has become a treatment of choice in the removal of lesions in the oral soft tissues, due to the numerous advantages they offer, being one of the most used currently the diode laser. The aim of this review was to determine the efficacy and predictability of diode laser as a treatment of soft tissue injuries compared to other surgical methods.

Material and Methods: A literature review of articles published in PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus and the Cochrane Library databases between 2007 and 2017 was performed. “Diode laser”, “soft tissue”, “oral cavity” and “oral surgery” were employed for the search strategy. Only articles published English or Spanish were selected.

Results: The diode laser is a minimally invasive technology that offers great advantages, superior to those of the conventional scalpel, such as reduction of bleeding, inflammation and the lower probability of scars. Its effectiveness is comparable to that of other types of lasers, in addition to being an option of lower cost and greater ease of use. Its application in the soft tissues has been evaluated, being a safe and effective method for the excision of lesions like fibromas, epulis fissuratum and the accomplishment of frenectomies.

Conclusions: The diode laser can be used with very good results for the removal of lesions in soft tissues, being used in small exophytic lesions due to their easy application, adequate coagulation, no need to suture and the slightest inflammation and pain.
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Introduction
Benign hyperplasias and tumors of the oral soft tissues are lesions that occur with an increase in volume, being traumatic and inflammatory the most frequent causes. They consist of certain habits, dental or mucous infections or prosthetic traumatisms that become aggressive agents for the epithelial and connective tissues, which react producing hyperplasias. The clinical history plays
a fundamental role to establish the diagnosis. If there is an etiology of constant trauma or infection, the diagnosis will be inclined to a reactive hyperplasia, whereas if these causes are not present, a true tumor must be suspected (1,2).

When these lesions require a surgical treatment, different procedures as conventional scalpel, electric scalpel or different types of lasers can be used. Advances in laser technology, as well as a better understanding of the different systems, have allowed to extend the clinical use of this instrument in dentistry, being used for minimally invasive conservative dental treatments, autoimmune diseases or surgical treatments (3).

The most commonly used lasers in oral surgery are high power lasers as CO2 laser, the Erbium family lasers, the Nd:YAG laser and the diode laser, which are mainly used for the elimination of the tissues (4,5).

The CO2 laser was the first laser to appear in Dentistry, thanks to its excellent cutting ability, being still a very employed and effective method. Its appearance was a very important advance in the development of surgical lasers. Currently it is applied due to its advantages for a correct tissue incision, coagulation or postoperative benefits (6).

Diode laser has become very popular in dentistry due to its small size and ease of use for minor soft tissue surgery (6). Based on its photothermal effect, it is used for the removal of small lesions of the oral mucosa by excision or vaporization procedures (7,8).

The aim of this literature review is to evaluate, based on available scientific literature, the efficacy and predictability of diode laser in the treatment of soft tissue lesions, comparing it with other surgical methods such as conventional scalpel or other types of laser.

**Material and Methods**

- **Search Strategy.**
  A comprehensive search of the literature was conducted until January 31st, 2017 in the following databases: PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus and the Cochrane Library. The search strategy used the following combination of terms: Diode laser AND soft tissues OR oral cavity OR oral surgery. The search strategy used the following combination of terms: Diode laser AND soft tissues OR oral cavity OR oral surgery. An additional hand search to find potential eligible studies was performed.
  
- **Study Selection**
  Inclusion Criteria. Full-text articles published between 2007 and 2017 were included. Only full text articles published in English or Spanish language were selected. Clinical trials and case series that used high power diode laser evaluating histologic or clinical variables were chosen. At first, only humans studies were selected, however, due to the lack of comparative studies, animal studies were also included.
  
- **Exclusion Criteria.** Articles published before 2007, in a language other than English or Spanish or not available in full text were not included. Studies evaluating other types of lasers or low power diode laser and studies with no control group were also excluded.

The response to the search strategy yielded 4017 results. Then, 2 independent researchers reviewed all the titles and articles that did not use high power diode laser in the treatment of oral soft tissues lesions were discarded, obtaining 414 potentially selectable papers. After reading the abstract, 84 of them were selected for a more detailed evaluation. Finally, 10 full text studies were included, excluding the rest of them for not meeting the established inclusion criteria (Fig. 1).
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**Results**

Main Findings.

All the articles found the diode laser to be effective in the extirpation of lesions in the oral soft tissues. A total of four studies (9-12) compared the effectiveness of the diode laser with respect to the conventional scalpel, concluding in a clear superiority of the laser. Four articles (13-16) compared the CO2 laser with the diode laser in the treatment of exophytic lesions in oral soft tissues. In relation to the Erbium family lasers, four articles (12,14,15,17) discussed their use in oral surgery for the elimination of exophytic lesions. One study (15) compared the efficacy of Nd:Yag laser compared to other lasers in the removal of oral lesions and also, only one study compared the diode laser with the electric scalpel (18). The indications found for the use of these lasers are summarized in table 1.

**Discussion**

One of the applications of lasers in dentistry is the extirpation of soft tissue lesions. Today, the diode laser is being one of the most employed, as it provides numerous advantages compared to the conventional scalpel or to other types of lasers.

The superiority of the diode laser in relation to the conventional scalpel offers no doubts. D’Arcangelo et al.
(9) and Amaral et al. (10) compared both techniques concluding that diode laser offers numerous advantages compared to the conventional scalpel as a lower intraoperative bleeding, a lower swelling of the area, better coagulation and scarring, no need of suture, reduction of surgical time and lesser degree of postsurgical pain. In addition, the laser instantly disinfects the surgical wound, and lesser further mechanical trauma is also confirmed by Bakhtiari et al. (11) study. On the other hand, Jin et al. (12) reported that diode laser produced greater tissue damage compared to the conventional scalpel and the Er:Cr: YSGG laser.

The CO2 laser was the first laser introduced in dentistry with excellent cutting precision, and thus, performing less invasive surgery (7). It offers the same advantages compared to the conventional scalpel as the diode laser. Moreover, Suter et al. (13) reported a lower collateral thermal damage at the edges of the lesion with this laser, findings that match with the study of Cercadillo-Ibarguren et al. (14) and Azevedo et al. (15). Monteiro et al. (16) considered it as the Gold Standard for epulis fissuratum extirpation due to its speed and greater penetration. However, its cost, clearly superior to the diode laser, and its greater difficulty of handling due to its big size, make it a method difficult to apply in the clinical practice.

Erbium lasers (Er: YAG and Er: YSGG) have been recently used in dentistry for the development of dental cavities and removal of bone tissue (5). Like the CO2 laser, its length wave allows it to penetrate less into the tissue, so the degree of injury on the treated area is lower when compared to the diode laser and therefore its recovery is faster (12,14,15,17). However, Fekrazad et al. (17) found that the degree of intraoperative coagulation and hemostasis that it grants with respect to the diode laser is lower and, in addition, its mechanism of pulsatile emission results in a more unequal cut than the continuous-wave lasers, which is very important in the removal of lesions in aesthetic areas. The price is also higher compared to the diode laser (17,19).

Regarding Nd:YAG lasers, these are frequently used for cartilage, bone, tattoo or hair remotion (5). Its wavelength and its ability to penetrate deep in the soft tissues, creates significant collateral damages in the tissues far superior to the rest of lasers (15). Asnaashari et al. (4) showed it desirable for vascular injuries, but not for other pathologies. Therefore, they are not used in the removal of exophytic soft tissue lesions, as the diode laser can be a safer alternative to them (15,20).

Among the mentioned applications of the laser, we also found the removal of gingival melanosis. A comparative study of the use of 980 nm diode laser and electric scalpel for the treatment of gingival hyperpigmentation revealed statistically significant results for the laser in postoperative pain during the first 24 hours (18). The patients in the laser group experienced less pain compared to the electric scalpel group, which can be attributed to the analgesic effects of these diode lasers, due to a disruption of the sodium and potassium pumps in the cell membrane, thus producing a loss of impulse conduction, although it is also considered the possibility that it is related to the ablation of the nerve endings. Although there are enough studies confirming a greater advantage of the laser compared to other techniques, we should consider that certain studies have shown recurrences in the treatment of lesions such as leukoplakia after removal with a laser (21).

The diode laser can be used with very good results in oral surgery for the removal of soft tissue lesions, being especially used in small exophytic lesions. Due to its

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Soft tissue surgery</th>
<th>Surgery on bone and dental tissues</th>
<th>Complementary treatment in implantology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vestibuloplasty</td>
<td>Frenulectomies</td>
<td>Dental retention surgery</td>
<td>Dental retention surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival pigmentation</td>
<td>Lesions suspected of malignancy</td>
<td>Surgical-orthodontic traction</td>
<td>Surgical-orthodontic traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumors</td>
<td></td>
<td>Periapical surgery</td>
<td>Periapical surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Indications for high power lasers.
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Easy application and low cost, adequate coagulation, no need to suture, less inflammation and pain, low time of treatment, better repair and recovery and the rare intraoperative and postoperative complications, is an effective and predictable method when performing surgeries in oral soft tissues, clearly superior to the conventional scalpel and with numerous advantages over other types of lasers. Therefore, diode lasers are becoming a tool present in routine clinical practice. However, further comparative studies are still needed, mainly to assess their long-term efficacy.
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