Recurrent odontogenic ghost cell carcinoma (OGCC) at a reconstructed fibular flap: A case report with immunohistochemical findings
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Abstract
Odontogenic ghost cell carcinoma (OGCC), a malignant counterpart of the odontogenic ghost cell tumor (OGCT), with aggressive growth characteristics, is exceedingly rare. A painful swelling in the jaw with local paresthesia is the most common symptom.

We described a case of 47-year Korean woman who had a rare central epithelial odontogenic ghost cell carcinoma which recurred at reconstructed fibular flap. Immunohistochemical differences between OGCT and OGCC analyzed using primary and recurred surgical specimen. On the basis of this case, the tumor started as an OGCT and transformed into OGCC with highly aggressive, rapidly growing, infiltrative tumors. Our findings suggest that some of the cytokines produced by ghost cells may play important roles in causing extensive bone resorption in the odontogenic ghost cell carcinoma. Wide local excision with histologically clean margins is the treatment mode of selection. Also, we recommend close long-term surveillance of OGCT because of high recurrence and potential for malignancy transformation.
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Introduction
Odontogenic ghost cell carcinoma (OGCC) is a rare malignant odontogenic epithelial tumor with features of calcifying odontogenic cysts (COCs) (1,2). Until now, only 26 OGCCs have been reported in the English language literature (3). In addition, OGCC can be further divided into two sub-classes, odontogenic central epithelial ghost cell carcinoma (OCEGCC) and odontogenic peripheral epithelial ghost cell carcinoma (OPEGCC). Here, we report a recurrent case of OCEGCC at mandible which has been previously reconstructed with fibular flap and describe its clinicopathological features.
Case Report

Clinical features and hospital course
A 47-year-old Korean woman visited our department because of a slow-growing, painful and swelling mass in her right mandible six years ago (Sep 10, 2003). Clinical examination revealed an ill-defined, hard mass in the right mandibular body extending from right submandibular to the submental space without surface ulceration. At the time of visit, she had high fever and marked oral swelling. Panorama showed central bone destruction from right first premolar to wisdom tooth. At CT and rapid-prototype model, tumor looked like ovoid mass around 6.5 ×5.5 in size without neck or distant metastasis. (Fig. 1 A,B,C). Drainage and incisional biopsy were performed and pathology report was OGCT. Under general anesthesia, the tumor was excised via partial mandibulectomy and the defect was reconstructed with fibular osteocutaneous flap (Sep 18, 2003). Post resection margin was clear and final pathologic report was same as previous.

Seven months after operation, the patient felt painful swelling at the reconstructed area and tooth mobility from left first premolar to right lateral incisor (Fig. 1 D). Panorama showed a round radiolucent lesion around the incisor apex. At Contrast-enhanced paranasal sinus magnetic resonance image (PNS MRI), large round mass (diameter of 4 cm) was pushing mouth floor muscles and tongue (Fig. 1 E,F). The incisional biopsy was the same as first operation, OGCT. On Jun 18, 2004, segmental mandibulectomy including the reconstructed fibular free flap and the defect was reconstructed with another fibular osteocutaneous free flap (Right leg) (Fig. 1 G,H,I). Final pathologic diagnosis of the delivered mass was OGCC instead of OGCT. After confirming the malignancy, selective neck dissection (Levels I, II) and further resection of mandible according to the pathologic margin was performed and no residual tumors were detected. No adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy was performed, and the patient was followed for four years after the second operation by clinical interview, with no evidence of recurrence or distant metastasis.

Fig. 1. Clinical and radiologic findings. A, Panorama showed central bone destruction from right first premolar to wisdom tooth. B,C, At CT and rapid-prototype model, tumor looked like ovoid mass around 6.5 ×5.5 in size. D,E,F, Intraoral photograph, panorama and PRI after 7 months from 1st operation showed ulcerative swelling at the reconstructed area from left first premolar to right lateral incisor. G,H,I, Excised mass and final state of reconstructed mandible with another fibular flap.
**Immunohistochemical finding**

The biopsy and surgical specimens of OGCT and its malignant form went through microscopic examination with a panel of immunohistochemical stain including: cytokeratin5 (CK5), cytokeratin14 (CK14), cytokeratin18 (CK18) and KI-67. On microscopically findings, the proliferation of bland odontogenic cells was observed, which had a dual pattern of growth. In the area that was reminiscent of ameloblastoma, the peripheral cells were demonstrating a reverse polarity, but rather cuboidal than columnar (Fig. 2 A). The inner cells were basoloid to spindle just like the basal cell variant of ameloblastoma. There was no stellate reticulum present. The second pattern was cribriform, which was comprised of basoloid odontogenic cells forming various sizes of cysts in a large sheet (Fig. 2 B). Odontogenic epithelial cords and islands were also present in the fibrous connective tissue between large sheets of tumor cells (Fig. 2 C). With a focus of anucleated eosinophilic ghost cell aggregates observed in the epithelial component (Fig. 2 B), the biopsy specimen was diagnosed as odontogenic ghost cell tumor (OGCT). The specimen from partial mandibulectomy was solid, multilobulating, and encapsulated. Microscopically, the bland, odontogenic cells with basoloid or spindle shape were composing the majority of tumor as seen in the biopsy specimen. Even though ameloblast-like pattern remains here and there, sheets of mixed basoloid and spindle cells were more conspicuous. An extensive tumor area was replaced to the ghost cells which became anucleated toward center (Fig. 2 D). There was no dysplastic dentinal formation, but the presence of ghost cells and proliferating odontogenic cells could make the final diagnosis to be categorized into OGCT.

**OGCT**

Inspecting the suspected growth at the recurred mass, we could observe the same microscopic findings to the previous lesion. The histopathologic features of surgical specimen also included sheets of odontogenic cells and wide distribution of ghost cells (Fig. 2 E). However, certain area showed high cellularity, appeared, and in which the odontogenic cells were no longer blend but showing an increased nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio, pleomorphism, vesicular/hyperchromatic nuclei and excessive numbers of mitoses (4–6 in 1HPF) (Fig. 2 F). Accordingly, the recurred lesion was finally diagnosed as OGCC arising from OGCT. Also, we investigated immunohistochemical differences between OGCT and OGCC (Table 1). The ameloblast-like palisading cells (Fig. 3 A) and spindle but not palisading cells (Fig. 3 B) were positive for CK5 & 14. While OGCC did not show ameloblast-like cuboidal to columnar cells, pleomorphic spindle cells in OGCC demonstrated immunoreactivity for CK5&14 (Fig. 3 C). CK18 was negative to both tumors (Fig. 3 D, E), but the labeling index of KI-67 in OGCC was much higher than in OGCT (Fig. 3 F, G) (Table 1).

---

**Fig. 2.** Histologic slides of OGCT(A,B,C) and OGCC(D,E,F). The latter slides showed some area with high cellularity in which the odontogenic cells were no longer blend but an increased nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio, pleomorphism, vesicular/hyperchromatic nuclei and excessive numbers of mitoses (4–6 in 1HPF).
Fig. 3. Immunohistochemical studies using CK5 & 14, CK18, and Ki-67. Upper three photomicrograms are CK5&14 stain, OGCT(A,B) and OGCC(C). Middle photomicrograms are CK18 stains, OGCT(D) and OGCC(E). Lower photomicrograms are Ki-67 stain, OGCC(F) and OGCT(G).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OGCT</th>
<th>OGCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CK5/14</td>
<td>Positive in ameloblast-like</td>
<td>Positive in spindle cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spindle cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK18</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion
Odontogenic tumors comprise a heterogeneous group of lesions that ranges from hamartomas to benign and malignant neoplasms of variable aggressiveness. Mosqueda-Taylor(2008) pointed out how the lack of uniform criteria employed for their proper identification of odontogenic tumors (4). There are numerous names for the above described condition, including classifying odontogenic cyst (COC), dentinogenic ghost cell tumor (DGCT), odontogenic ghost cell tumor (OGCT) and odontogenic ghost cell carcinoma (OGCC), depending on the different terminology and classification of the group lesions. The present case was classified according to the latest criteria proposed by the World Health Organization (2). DGCT is a solid neoplastic clinicopathologic variant of COC and a rare odontogenic tumor. COCs account for only 1-2% of all odontogenic tumors, and only 2-14% of all COCs are solid tumors (5). DGCT recurrence had been reported in some intraosseous cases, and additional OGCCs have been documented (6,7). This is an additional report of an OGCT which experienced recurrences after segmental resection of the mandible and underwent malignant transformation to OGCC (1).

Clinically, OGCC may appear as a de novo tumor or may arise as a previously diagnosed COC (3, 6-9). It is of interest that previous cases of OGCC are often described as multiple recurrences of COC or long-term persistent swelling followed by the onset of rapid, painful swelling before a definitive diagnosis of OGCC (5,10,11). This might be perceived as evidence of a transformation of a long-standing or recurrent benign process into a malignant one. In the present case, the tumor seems to have developed de novo. The clinical features of OGCC are not specific. A painful swelling in the jaws with local paresthesias is the most frequent symptom (3), and expansion of the mandible or maxilla may be noted. Of the 26 reported cases (including the present case), the ratio of males to females was 4:1, which differs from COC, which reportedly has no gender predilection. These tu
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