Journal section: Periodontology Publication Types: Research doi:10.4317/medoral.17173 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.17173

# No association between periodontal disease and GHQ-12 in a Brazilian Police population

Eliane-Lopes Godinho<sup>1, 2</sup>, Lucyana-Conceição Farias<sup>1</sup>, João-Carlos-Andrade Aguiar<sup>1</sup>, Hercílio Martelli-Júnior<sup>1</sup>, Paulo-Rogério-Ferreti Bonan<sup>1</sup>, Raquel-Conceição Ferreira<sup>1</sup>, Alfredo-Maurício-Batista De Paula<sup>1</sup>, Andréa-Maria-Eleutério de Barros-Lima Martins<sup>2</sup>, André-Luiz-Sena Guimarães<sup>1</sup>

Correspondence: Universidade Estadual de Montes Claros Hospital Universitário Clemente de Faria Laboratório de Pesquisa em Saúde Avenida Cula Mangabeira, 562 Montes Claros Minas Gerais, Brasil cep 39401-001 andreluizguimaraes@gmail.com

Received: 28/06/2010 Accepted: 26/08/2010 Godinho EL, Farias LC, Aguiar JCA, Martelli-Júnior H, Bonan PRF, Ferreira RC, De Paula AMB, Martins AMEL, Guimarães ALS. No association between periodontal disease and GHQ-12 in a Brazilian Police population. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2011 Sep 1;16 (6):e857-63. http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v16i6/medoralv16i6p857.pdf

Article Number: 17173 http://www.medicinaoral.com/ © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946 eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com Indexed in: Science Citation Index Expanded Journal Citation Reports Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed Scopus, Embase and Emcare Indice Médico Español

#### Abstract

Objectives: We attempt to investigate a possible association between periodontal disease (PD) and mental disorders (MD) in a population of Brazilian Police.

Study Method: From a total study population consisting of 803 policemen, 345 police officers were obtained by a sample calculation using the finite population correction who were randomly selected in Montes Claros, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Patients who had been prescribed steroids or those diagnosed with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases were excluded from this study. The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) was used to assess mental disorders. Odds ratios (ORs) for periodontal diseases severity and their respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated. The risk of advanced scores in Clinical Attachment Level (CAL) and Community Periodontal Index (CPI) were estimated using Poisson Regression analyses.

Results: Only smoking and age were associated with severity in CAL and CPI index. No relation between MD and PD was observed even in different positions within the police department.

Conclusions: It was not observed relation between GHQ-12 and the incidence of Periodontal Disease in a Brazilian Police population. Classical factors like age and smoking, however, were associated with CAL and CPI index higher scores in this population.

Key words: Periodontal disease, GHQ-12, mental disorder, Police.

#### Introduction

Periodontal disease (PD) is a multifactorial disease characterized by inflammation and destruction of tooth supporting tissues, as a result of the response of a susceptible host to bacterial challenge (1). It is one of the most common causes of tooth loss in the world (2). Some risk factors, such as diabetes mellitus, smoking, age, genetic and epigenetic factors may influence the onset and progression of periodontal disease (3-6). Evidences suggest that Mental Disorders (MD) such as depression, stress, anxiety, and occupational stress might contribute to the development of PD (7-11). It could be explained by the fact of immune system is controlled and regulated by bi-directional brain-to-immune communication mechanisms (12, 13). In order to test this hypothesis, numerous studies using a variety of approaches were performed over the past several decades (3-5, 13-15) but until now the role of MD in PD remains unclear. Police activity involves frequent exposure to potentially traumatic situations, such as armed confrontations, motor vehicle crashes and witnessing violent deaths (16, 17). Moreover, policeman prevalence rates of stress disorder are similar in different countries besides the marked differences in assessment methodology, local levels of violence, the quality and the duration of the training, sociocultural factors in general exceed those found in the general population (16). Since, until now, no article attempt to investigate the association between PD and MD in a police population. The purpose of the current article is to investigate a possible association between PD and MD in a population of Brazilian Police.

#### **Patients and Methods**

The total study population consisted of 803 policemen from Montes Claros, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Patients who had been prescribed steroids or those diagnosed with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases were excluded from this study. All patients have access to psychological, medical and dentistry services. The number of participants was defined by a sample calculation using the finite population correction and a total number of 345 police officers was obtained, who were randomly selected.

#### -Data Collection

The data were collected by means of an interview with the police officers and examination of the oral cavity after obtaining the term of free and informed consent. Specific software developed by Martins AMEBL was used to collect all data from September 2008 to July 2009. All data collection was performed by a single trained and calibrated researcher. The calibration of the observer was measured by Kappa statistics.

-Sociodemographic and behavioral variables

The sociodemographic conditions assessed were age, marital status, sex, race, years of education, per capita income, years working for the police corporation, type of job and police officer rank. Satisfaction with oral condition was assessed by the following question: "How satisfied are you with your oral health?" This variable was dichotomized as positive (very satisfied, satisfied, neither satisfied nor dissatisfied) and negative (dissatisfied, very dissatisfied). Tobacco and drink habit were classified as used before (18). Only those patients who had never smoked were considered non-smokers. Ex-drinkers and ex-smokers were subjects who had abstained from any type of drinking and smoking for at least one year. Oral hygiene was categorized in 3 groups according to types of additional methods than the use of toothbrush in: Type 1 (use of tongue cleaner and dental floss), Type 2 (use dental floss) and Type 3 (use tongue cleaner). Physical description of skin color was not used because it is a poor predictor of genomic ancestry in Brazil (19).

### -Mental Disorders

The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) for triage of mental disorders, translated into Portuguese and validated in Brazil was used (20). The 12th-item is a selfreport scale for nonpsychotic, minor mental illness that is also used as a general measurement of psychological well-being (21). Each item of the GHQ-12 ranges from 0-2, 3-5, 6+. A simple scoring method was used for the 12 questions of 0-0-1-1 (0= absence of common mental disorders, 1= presence). Its total score ranges from 0 to 12 and the higher the score, the worse the mental health. The patients were divided in three groups according GHQ scores in less than 2 (typical scores), between 3 and 6 (evidence of distress) and more than 6 (suggests severe problems and psychological distress) as described before (20).

#### -Periodontal Disease

Assessment of periodontal disease was conducted using the Community Periodontal Index (CPI) and periodontal clinical attachment loss index (CAL). For the CPI and CAL assessment, standardized criteria established by the World Health Organization in 1997 were used (2,22). To perform periodontal examinations, the oral cavity was divided into sextants and six sites per tooth were assessed (mesiobuccal, mid-buccal, disto-buccal, disto-lingual, mid-lingual and mediolingual sites), using the periodontal probe to measure pocket depth and Clinical Attachment Level (CAL). A periodontal pocket was defined as the distance from the free gingival margin to the base of the pocket/sulcus. Clinical Attachment Level was defined as the distance (in millimeters) from the cement-enamel junction to the base of the pocket/sulcus. Each sextant received a score according to the worst condition presented: according to the CPI index (0= healthy sextant, 1= sextant with bleeding, 2 = calculus, 3 = pocket from 4 mm to 5 mm,  $4 = \text{pocket} \ge 6\text{mm}$ ) and CAL (0 = clinical attachmentloss of  $\leq 3$ , 1 = clinical attachment loss between 4 and 5 mm, 2= clinical attachment loss between 6 mm and 8 mm, 3 = clinical attachment loss between 9 mm and 11 mm, 4 = clinical attachment loss of  $\ge 12$ mm).

The data collection was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles contained in the Helsinki Declaration, 1964. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the local Ethics Committee (Unimontes/COEP, 1134/2008).

#### -Statistical analysis

Initially the police officers were characterized as regards the variables investigated. With regard to periodontal health, the police officers were divided into not ill (CPI  $\leq 2$  - presence of calculus, and CAL  $\leq 2$  - clinical attachment loss between 0 and 5 mm) and ill (CPI  $\geq 3$  pocket, and CAL  $\geq 2$  - clinical attachment loss of  $\geq 6$ mm). Next, bivariate and multivariate analyses were performed in an endeavor to identify the factors associated with the periodontal condition. The variables that showed significant relationship with periodontal disease, with p-values lower than 0.25 in the bivariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis in a decreasing order of the descriptive level. The final model was composed of factors that remained associated with the normative need for dental treatment at a level of 0.05 (p<0.05). The multivariate analysis was performed by the Poisson regression (23). Analysis was performed with the statistical program SPSS<sup>®</sup> 17.0.

#### Results

The Cronbach's alpha of GHQ-12 was 0.998 indicating internal consistency of the scale (data not shown). The concordance obtained by kappa test was 0.84. The descriptive data are shown in table 1.

In bivariate analyze no associations with GHQ-12 were observed with CAL or CPI index. Only higher age and the presence of smoking were associated with high scores of both CAL and CPI. Taken CPI index isolated, lower level of education and the absence use of tongue cleaner or dental floss were also associated with higher CPI scores. On the other hand, time in the police and alcohol consumption were the other variables associated with CAL higher scores (Table 2).

In multivariate analyze, GHQ-12 were no associated with CAL or CPI index (table 3). After adjusting the model to the best significance, only age and smoking habit have had impact on CPI and CAL. Smoke could increase the risck of LOA in 2.69 times and the risk of CPI in 1.65 times. Additionally, the less complex types of oral hygiene were associated with higher CPI index.

#### Discussion

Over the past several decades, a plethora of information concerning the influence of MD on the loss of dental attachment has been made available in the literature (3, 4, 4)8, 9, 11, 13, 14). But until now the biological mechanisms to explain this association remains unclear. Evidences suggest that genetic, epigenetic and environmental conditions might be involved in bone resorption rates and could interfere in PD progression (4-6). In the current study no association between MD and PD was observed. Moreover, no association between type of work on the police (action or administrative) and CPI index or CAL were observed. Our data could be explained by the fact that the population analyzed here has access to frequent to psychological, medical and dentistry preventive/curative programs and selection bias. Another important factor is that we could not established the ancestry by physical description of skin color because the degree of a mixed-race of Brazilian population (19, 24). Difficulties to compare studies are attributed to the differences in definition of periodontal disease adopted, the diagnostic criteria used to access prevalence of MD

and the preventives support given our population. We could compare the data of the current study with Chiou et al. (11), who observed that poor mental health had a stronger association with periodontal disease among smokers than among nonsmokers, especially in accumulative CAL. But it is important to highlight that no risk of MD patients were used in this study (11). Taken that the prevalence of some stress disorders are similar in policemen from different countries and generally exceed those found in the general population (16, 25), we could speculate that the local treatment and prevention could be sufficient to avoid the PD progression in MD patients. But it is important to emphasize that the results observed in animal models shed light on the possible role of antidepressant treatment to reduce attachment loss (13). To understand how the best approach for PD in MD patients, more studies with specifically MD diagnoses and molecular tests are necessary.

Although no association with GHQ scores were observed here, it was shown that smoking was important to attachment loss. Classically the synergism between smoking and attachment loss has been described (11). Smoking is associated with a two to eight-fold increased risk for periodontal attachment and/or bone loss, depending on the definition of disease severity and smoking dose (26). Tobacco smoking may provide mechanisms for the increased susceptibility to periodontitis and the poorer response to treatment (27). It seems that nicotine might increase expression of proinflammatory cytokine and consequently accelerate CAL (28). In the current study it was observed the association with smoke habbit increase in two fold risk of CAL.

Our data suggest that age could increase CAL high scores. This finding might sound obvious because the importance of age in the evaluation of the nature of an individual's periodontal disease (2). But past controversies associated with age on the PD classification (2) suggest that this data must be cautiously analyzed.

In the current study absence of use dental floss or tongue cleaner was associated with higher CPI index. The importance of dental hygiene in the etiology of gingival inflammation was classically demonstrated before (29). Although frequent dentistry preventive programs, only 25.4% of the population followed the correct oral hygiene method prescribed. As few people can sustain the dedication required to consistently perform this mechanical tooth-cleaning regimen Mechanical Oral Hygiene could be useful in prevention of gingivitis and consequently PD (30).

In conclusion, it was not observed relation between Mental Disorders and the incidence of Periodontal Disease in a population of Brazilian Police, although in this population classical factors like age and smoking were associated with CAL and CPI index higher scores.

#### Table 1. Data distribution.

Variables	Category	n	%
CAL	Nondisease	317	92.1
	Disease	28	7.9
CPI	Nondisease	285	82.7
	Disease	60	17.3
GHQ score	less than 2	271	78.6
	between 3 and 6	51	14.8
	more than 6	23	6.7
Age	20-37	192	55.5
	38-53	153	44.3
Marital Status	Married	273	79.1
	Other	72	20.9
Gender	Female	17	4.9
	Male	328	95.1
Years studing	≥ 12	92	26.8
	< 12	253	73.2
Salary per capta in family	740-4500	170	49.3
	280-738	175	50.7
Satisfaction with condition mouth	Positive	305	88.4
	Negative	40	11.6
Smoke	No	285	82.6
	Yes	60	17.4
Alchool consumption	No	75	21.7
	Yes	270	78.3
work years of Police	less than 14	136	39.4
	more than 15	209	60.6
Hygiene	more than2 times	289	84.3
	1 a 2 times	56	15.7
Type of hygiene	Type 1	89	25.4
	Type 2	130	37.9
	Type 3	126	36.7
Type of work	Administrative	36	10.6
	Both	12	2.3
	Action	297	87.1

0.15 0.32

.

**0.00** 0.14 0.23 0:30

0.11

0.29

0.01

**0.00** 0.34 0.20 0.64 0.14 0.18

If a bial         If a bial <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>CPI index</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>CAL index</th><th>ndex</th><th></th><th></th></t<>							CPI index							CAL index	ndex		
(C5)         1         3         1         3         1         3         1         3         1			<b>V</b> I								V		ΛÎ				
wer         Upper         Lower         Lower <thl< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>c</th><th>%</th><th>c</th><th>%</th><th>OR</th><th>IC 9</th><th>5%</th><th>đ</th><th>c</th><th>%</th><th>c</th><th>%</th><th>OR</th><th>IC9</th><th>%</th></thl<>			c	%	c	%	OR	IC 9	5%	đ	c	%	c	%	OR	IC9	%
								Lower	Uper							Lower	Uper
84         102         010         49         55.9         3         4.1         0.95         0.89           7         123         0.00         123         97.9         1         1.3         0.05         0.87           7         123         0.00         123         97.9         2         97.9         1.1         1.00           84         123         0.00         123         94.2         2.5         8.8         1.00         0.9           84         0.99         0.05         66         97.2         25         8.8         1.00         0.9           84         0.99         0.05         66         97.3         1.1         1.00         1.00           90         920         95.7         2.3         8.3         1.0         1.00         1.00           91         107         207         90.3         1.1         1.10         1.00         1.00           92         112         0.13         8.8         2.4         2.4         1.00         1.00           93         123         93.3         13         1.1         1.1         1.00         1.00           94         123	GHQ	less than 2	220	81.7	49	18.3	1.00				245	91.1	25	8.9	1.00		
87         1.23         0.69         22         95.7         1         4.3         0.95         0.87           84         1.23         0.09         123         94.3         2.4         1.14         1.00           84         1.23         0.05         69         95.7         2.4         1.57         1.14         1.01           84         0.99         0.05         69         95.7         3         4.3         0.96         0.90           17         100.0         0         91.7         100.0         0         1.00         1.00           120         0.99         91.0         91.7         1.01         0.90         1.09         1.09           110         120         0.91         101         0.9         9.01         1.00         1.09           111         0.19         111         111         111         1.01         1.00         1.01           112         0.12         91.3         88.6         1.1         1.01         1.01         1.01           112         0.12         1.13         1.13         1.14         1.01         1.01           113         0.12         1.13         1.13 <th></th> <th>between 3 and 6</th> <th>46</th> <th>8.68</th> <th>9</th> <th>10.2</th> <th>0.92</th> <th>0.84</th> <th>1.02</th> <th>0.10</th> <th>49</th> <th>95.9</th> <th>e</th> <th>4.1</th> <th>0.95</th> <th>0.89</th> <th>1.02</th>		between 3 and 6	46	8.68	9	10.2	0.92	0.84	1.02	0.10	49	95.9	e	4.1	0.95	0.89	1.02
		more than 6	18	78.3	9	21.7	1.04	0.87	1.23	0.69	22	95.7	1	4.3	0.95	0.87	1.05
04         123         000         123         84.3         24         15.7         114         107           84         912         248         91.2         25         88         100         10           84         103         003         17         100         248         91.2         20         100         100           83         120         0.39         30.3         91.7         100         100         100           84         120         0.39         30.3         91.7         100         100         100           90         90         95.6         4         4         100         100         100           115         0.19         127         93.1         111         69         100         100           115         0.12         137         93.1         111         60         100         100           116         128         91.2         129         128         120         100         100           116         128         91.2         121         121         121         100         100           117         128         92.3         123         123 <th>Age</th> <th>20-37</th> <th>176</th> <th>87.9</th> <th>24</th> <th>12.1</th> <th>1.00</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>194</th> <th>97.9</th> <th>4</th> <th>2.1</th> <th>1.00</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Age	20-37	176	87.9	24	12.1	1.00				194	97.9	4	2.1	1.00		
		38-53	110	75.5	35	24.5	1.13	1.04	1.23	0.00	123	84.3	24	15.7	1.14	1.07	1.22
84         0.39         0.05         69         95.7         3         4.3         0.36         0.30           17         100.0         0         91.7         100.0         0         1.0         1.00           17         100.0         91.7         200         91.7         20         0.0         1.00         1.00           17         100.0         157         90.0         91.7         28         91.0         1.00         1.00           115         0.01         227         90.8         24         92         1.00         1.00           115         0.19         110         111         0.1         1.00         1.00           115         0.19         91.0         1.1         92         1.00         0.36           112         0.12         33         88.6         1         1.14         1.00         1.00           112         0.12         133         88.6         1         1.14         1.00         1.00           113         0.12         1.13         1.13         1.14         1.01         1.00           114         114         114         1.14         1.00         1.01	State marital	Married	220	80.8	52	19.2	1.00				248	91.2	25	8.8	1.00		
17 $100$ $0$ $0$ $10$ $21$ $20$ $917$ $28$ $83$ $100$ $101$ $12$ $001$ $227$ $903$ $24$ $24$ $100$ $101$ $115$ $011$ $227$ $903$ $21$ $92$ $104$ $101$ $115$ $010$ $127$ $910$ $117$ $92$ $104$ $101$ $115$ $113$ $102$ $913$ $117$ $910$ $102$ $112$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $4$ $114$ $100$ $103$ $112$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $1$ $114$ $100$ $103$ $112$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $1$ $114$ $100$ $103$ $112$ $012$ $123$ $833$ $1$ $114$ $100$ $103$ $112$ $013$ $113$ $113$ $114$ $100$		other	99	89.9	7	10.1	0.91	0.84	0.99	0.05	69	95.7	e	4.3	0.96	0:90	1.01
33 $120$ $0.39$ $300$ $917$ $28$ $8.3$ $109$ $105$ $110$ $001$ $227$ $903$ $556$ $4$ $44$ $100$ $101$ $115$ $013$ $157$ $913$ $111$ $90$ $106$ $100$ $115$ $013$ $157$ $912$ $111$ $910$ $100$ $115$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $4$ $114$ $0.02$ $112$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $12$ $100$ $0.33$ $112$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $12$ $100$ $0.33$ $112$ $012$ $33$ $886$ $12$ $100$ $0.33$ $113$ $012$ $213$ $813$ $12$ $100$ $100$ $113$ $012$ $123$ $123$ $114$ $100$ $100$ $113$ $013$ $113$ $123$ $112$ $10$	Gender	Female	15	82.4	4	17.6	1.00				17	100.0	0	0.0	1.00		
		Male	270	82.7	56	17.3	0.99	0.83	1.20	0.59	300	91.7	28	8.3	1.09	1.05	1.12
02         1.20         001         227         903         24         9.2         1.04         1.00           97         115         0.19         157         931         11         6.9         1.00         0.96           94         128         0.13         160         91.0         17         9.0         1.02         0.96           94         128         0.22         33         88.6         4         11.4         104         0.93           97         129         0.02         33         88.6         4         11.4         100         0.93           97         129         0.02         33         88.6         4         11.4         100         0.93           97         129         0.13         88.7         19         103         100         100           97         131         0.13         17         12         100         104           97         132         132         132         12         100         104           97         131         0.13         12         12         100         104           97         132         0.14         13         100	Years studing	<u>2</u> 12	88	90.0	6	10.0	1.00				90	95.6	4	4.4	1.00		
37 $157$ $33.1$ $11$ $6.9$ $100$ $34$ $1.25$ $0.13$ $1.60$ $91.0$ $17$ $9.0$ $1.02$ $0.36$ $34$ $1.28$ $0.22$ $33$ $88.6$ $4$ $114$ $104$ $0.31$ $00$ $1.29$ $0.22$ $33$ $88.6$ $4$ $114$ $100$ $0.33$ $00$ $1.29$ $0.22$ $33$ $88.6$ $4$ $114$ $0.32$ $270$ $93.8$ $19$ $6.2$ $100$ $0.33$ $112$ $0.47$ $83.3$ $9$ $16.7$ $100$ $100$ $113$ $0.13$ $178$ $877$ $22$ $123$ $100$ $104$ $114$ $116$ $113$ $912$ $123$ $110$ $100$ $112$ $0.14$ $113$ $123$ $123$ $110$ $104$ $112$ $0.13$ $123$ $123$ <th></th> <th>&lt;12</th> <th>198</th> <th>7.67</th> <th>50</th> <th>20.3</th> <th>1.11</th> <th>1.02</th> <th>1.20</th> <th>0.01</th> <th>227</th> <th>90.8</th> <th>24</th> <th>9.2</th> <th>1.04</th> <th>1.00</th> <th>1.09</th>		<12	198	7.67	50	20.3	1.11	1.02	1.20	0.01	227	90.8	24	9.2	1.04	1.00	1.09
37         115         0.19         160         910         17         9.0         102         0.96           34         128         0.22         33         925         23         75         100         03           36         128         0.22         33         886         4         11.4         104         03           36         13         886         1         11.4         104         03           37         113         0.42         241         902         27         916         114           37         114         902         27         93         114         100           36         113         923         5         27         100         104           37         128         877         22         123         110         104           37         131         92         27         130         106         104           38         123         92         123         101         104         104           38         123         123         123         110         104         104           39         123         123         123	Income per	740-4500	144	85.6	26	14.4	1.00				157	93.1	11	6.9	1.00		
285         225         23         75         100           30         128         0.22         33         866         4         11.4         104         033           30         129         0.02         33         886         4         11.4         104         033           31         129         0.02         47         833         9         16.7         111         100           32         129         0.02         241         90.2         27         9.8         100         100           32         131         0.42         241         90.2         27         9.8         100         100           31         0.13         140         973         5         2.7         100         104           32         118         0.15         178         877         22         123         100           32         124         92         27         123         100         104         104           32         124         127         123         123         100         104         104           32         124         123         94         103         104         10	capita R\$	280-738	141	80.1	34	19.9	1.06	0.97	1.15	0.19	160	91.0	17	9.0	1.02	0.96	1.08
34         1.28         0.22         33         88.6         4         11.4         104         0.33           00         1.29         0.02         47         83.3         9         16.7         1111         100           0         1.29         0.02         47         83.3         9         16.7         1111         100           76         98.6         1         1.4         1.03         100         100           76         98.6         1         1.4         1.00         100         100           76         241         90.2         27         9.8         100         100         100           91         1.18         0.15         178         877         22         123         110         104           92         1.12         0.14         47         882         6         113         100         104           91         1.23         9.21         72         120         100         104           91         1.24         9.2         72         100         104         106           91         1.24         9.2         72         100         104         104	Satisfaction with	Positive	258	83.7	50	16.3	1.00				285	92.5	23	7.5	1.00		
	condition mouth	Negative	27	74.3	10	25.7	1.10	0.94	1.28	0.22	33	88.6	4	11.4	1.04	0.93	1.16
	Smoke	No	245	84.7	4	15.3	1.00				270	93.8	19	6.2	1.00		
		Yes	40	72.7	16	27.8	1.13	1.00	1.29	0.02	47	83.3	6	16.7	1.11	1.00	1.23
32         112         0.42         241         902         27         9.8         108         104           37         140         97.3         5         2.7         100         104           37         118         0.15         178         87.7         22         12.3         110         104           37         125         0.14         7.3         272         100         104           37         125         0.14         270         92.8         22         123         100         104           37         125         0.14         27         138         105         0.95         0.95           38         125         906         13         94         103         0.95           313         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         7.7         102         0.95           32         1.05         1         32         10         7.7         100         0.95           32         1.05         0.35         1         32         10         0.95         0.95           33         0.36         1         32         10         1.02         0.95	Alcool	No	65	83.8	12	16.2	1.00				76	98.6	1	1.4	1.00		
37 $140$ $973$ $5$ $2.7$ $100$ $37$ $118$ $0.15$ $178$ $87.7$ $22$ $123$ $110$ $104$ $37$ $125$ $0.14$ $47$ $88.2$ $6$ $11.8$ $100$ $104$ $37$ $0.14$ $47$ $88.2$ $6$ $11.8$ $105$ $0.95$ $32$ $122$ $90.6$ $13$ $9.4$ $103$ $0.96$ $13$ $132$ $92.3$ $10$ $7.7$ $100$ $0.95$ $32$ $0.00$ $113$ $92.3$ $10$ $7.7$ $102$ $0.95$ $32$ $0.00$ $113$ $92.3$ $10$ $7.7$ $102$ $0.95$ $32$ $0.00$ $113$ $92.3$ $10$ $7.7$ $102$ $0.95$ $32$ $1.6$ $2.7$ $10$ $2.7$ $1.02$ $0.95$ $32$ $1.6$ $2.7$		Yes	221	82.4	47	17.6	1.01	0.92	1.12	0.42	241	90.2	27	9.8	1.08	1.04	1.14
.7         1.18         0.15         1.78 $87.7$ 22         12.3         1.10         1.04 $.7$ $.270$ $.92.8$ $.22$ $.72$ $1.00$ $.006$ $.7$ $.14$ $.47$ $.88.2$ $.6$ $.11.8$ $.105$ $.0.95$ $.6$ $.124$ $.000$ $.122$ $.940$ $.5$ $.60$ $.100$ $.13$ $.1.25$ $.000$ $.113$ $.940$ $.77$ $.102$ $.0.95$ $.13$ $.1.35$ $.0.00$ $.113$ $.92.3$ $.10$ $.77$ $.102$ $.0.95$ $.13$ $.1.35$ $.0.00$ $.113$ $.92.3$ $.10$ $.77$ $.102$ $.0.95$ $.16$ $.0.39$ $.5$ $.71.4$ $.2$ $.28.6$ $.1.29$ $.0.95$ $.16$ $.0.38$ $.0.22$ $.280$ $.228$ $.0.92$ $.0.92$ $.16$ $.0.22$ $.280$ $.228$ $.228$ $.0$	years of working	less than 14	120	83.6	24	16.4	1.00				140	97.3	5	2.7	1.00		
270         92.8         22         7.2         1.00           .97         1.25         0.14         47         88.2         6         11.8         105         0.95           .05         1.24         0.00         1122         94.0         5         6.0         100           .13         1.35         0.00         1122         92.3         10         77         102         0.95           .13         1.35         0.00         112         92.3         10         77         102         0.96           .82         1.63         1         32.3         10         77         102         0.95           .82         1.67         0.39         5         7.14         2         28.6         1.20         0.92           .94         0.52         280         92.0         25         8.0         1.03         0.92	on the police	more than 15	154	76.6	47	23.4	1.07	0.97	1.18	0.15	178	87.7	22	12.3	1.10	1.04	1.17
37         1.25         0.14         47         88.2         6         11.8         1.05         0.35           0.5         1.24         0.00         122         90.6         13         9.4         1.03         0.36           1.3         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         77         1.02         0.36           1.3         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         77         1.02         0.36           1.3         1.32         9.68         1         3.2         100         77         1.02         0.35           2.8         1.67         0.39         5         71.4         2         28.6         1.29         0.32           2.8         1.67         0.39         5         71.4         2         28.6         1.29         0.32           3.2         1.18         0.52         280         9.20         25         8.0         1.05         0.36		more than 2 times	244	84.1	46	15.9	1.00				270	92.8	22	7.2	1.00		
82         94.0         5         6.0         1.00           .12         0.00         112         90.6         13         9.4         1.03         0.96           .13         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         7.7         1.02         0.96           .13         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         7.7         1.02         0.95           .13         1.32         96.8         1         3.2         1.00         1.02         0.95           .80         1.67         0.39         5         71.4         2         28.6         1.29         0.92           .91         0.52         280         92.0         25         8.0         1.05         0.95		1 a 2 times	41	74.5	14	25.5	1.10	0.97	1.25	0.14	47	88.2	9	11.8	1.05	0.95	1.15
.05         1.24         0.00         122         90.6         13         9.4         1.03         0.96           .13         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         7.7         1.02         0.95           .13         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         7.7         1.02         0.95           .13         1.32         96.8         1         3.2         1.00         32         32         32         32         32         32         1.00         32         1.10         32         1.10         32         1.10         32         1.14         2         2.8.6         1.29         0.92         32         32         1.29         0.32         32         1.14         2         2.8.6         1.29         0.32         33         1.15         0.52         2.80         1.05         0.36         33         33         33         34	Type of hygiene	Type 1	83	95.2	4	4.8					82	94.0	5	6.0	1.00		
.13         1.35         0.00         113         92.3         10         7.7         1.02         0.95           .82         .96.8         1         .3.2         1.00         .3.2         1.00           .82         1.67         0.39         5         71.4         2         28.6         1.29         0.92           .92         1.18         0.52         280         92.0         25         8.0         1.05         0.93		Type 2	110	82.0	25	18.0	1.14	1.05	1.24	0.00	122	90.6	13	9.4	1.03	0.96	1.11
32         96.8         1         3.2         1.00           .82         1.67         0.39         5         71.4         2         28.6         1.29         0.92           .92         1.18         0.52         280         92.0         25         8.0         1.05         0.98		Type 3	90	74.1	33	25.9	1.23	1.13	1.35	0.00	113	92.3	10	7.7	1.02	0.95	1.09
.82 1.67 0.39 5 71.4 2 28.6 1.29 0.92 .92 1.18 0.52 280 92.0 25 8.0 1.05 0.98	Type of work	Administrative	30	87.1	4	12.9					32	96.8	1	3.2	1.00		
.92 1.18 0.52 280 92.0 25 8.0 1.05 0.98		Both	5	71.4	2	28.6	1.17	0.82	1.67	0.39	S	71.4	2	28.6	1.29	0.92	1.81
In bold: significant p-value<0.05; analyzes were done with X2 test. (OR) Odds ratios.		Action	252	82.9	52	17.1	1.04	0.92	1.18	0.52	280	92.0	25	8.0	1.05	0.98	1.12
	In bold: signifi	cant p-value<0.0;	5; analyz	es were do	one with 3	X2 test. (O	R) Odds ra	tios.									

Table 3. Multivariate analysis demonstrating the variables associated with risk of Community
Periodontal Index $\geq$ 3mm and clinical attachment loss of $\geq$ 6 mm.

		CPI**			
		OR		95%	Р
			lower	Upper	
Age	20-37		Re	ferent	
	38-53	1.98	1.23	3.18	0.005
Smoke	No		Re	ferent	
	Yes	1.76	1.07	2.89	0.025
Type of hygiene	Type 1		Re	ferent	
	Type 2	4.00	1.47	10.91	0.001
	Type 3	5.46	2.05	14.54	0.007
		CAL*			
Age	20-37		Re	ferent	
	38-53	8.54	2.85	25.56	0.000
Smoke	No		Re	ferent	
	Yes	2.69	1.08	6.70	0.033

In bold: significant p-value<0.05 \* Analyzed by Poisson Regression \*\* analyzed by Logistic regression. (OR) Odds ratios.

## References with links to Crossref - DOI

References

1. Borrell LN, Papapanou PN. Analytical epidemiology of periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol. 2005;32Suppl 6:132-58.

2. Armitage GC. Periodontal diagnoses and classification of periodontal diseases. Periodontol 2000. 2004;34:9-21.

3. Rosania AE, Low KG, McCormick CM, Rosania DA. Stress, depression, cortisol, and periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 2009;80:260-6.

 Costa JE, Gomes CC, Cota LO, Pataro AL, Silva JF, Gomez RS, et al. Polymorphism in the promoter region of the gene for 5-HTT in individuals with aggressive periodontitis. J Oral Sci. 2008;50:193-8.
 Dutra WO, Moreira PR, Souza PE, Gollob KJ, Gomez RS. Implications of cytokine gene polymorphisms on the orchestration of the immune response: lessons learned from oral diseases. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2009;20:223-32.

6. Gomez RS, Dutra WO, Moreira PR. Epigenetics and periodontal disease: future perspectives. Inflamm Res. 2009;58:625-9.

7. Peruzzo DC, Benatti BB, Ambrosano GM, Nogueira-Filho GR, Sallum EA, Casati MZ, et al. A systematic review of stress and psychological factors as possible risk factors for periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 2007;78:1491-504.

 Dolic M, Bailer J, Staehle HJ, Eickholz P. Psychosocial factors as risk indicators of periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol. 2005;32:1134-40.
 Green LW, Tryon WW, Marks B, Huryn J. Periodontal disease as a function of life events stress. J Human Stress. 1986;12:32-6.

10. Persson GR, Persson RE, MacEntee CI, Wyatt CC, Hollender LG, Kiyak HA. Periodontitis and perceived risk for periodontitis in elders

with evidence of depression. J Clin Periodontol. 2003;30:691-6. 11. Chiou LJ, Yang YH, Hung HC, Tsai CC, Shieh TY, Wu YM, et al. The association of psychosocial factors and smoking with periodontal health in a community population. J Periodontal Res. 2010;45:16-22.

12. Pavlov VA, Tracey KJ. Neural regulators of innate immune responses and inflammation. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2004;61:2322-31.

13. Breivik T, Gundersen Y, Myhrer T, Fonnum F, Osmundsen H, Murison R, et al. Enhanced susceptibility to periodontitis in an animal model of depression: reversed by chronic treatment with the anti-depressant tianeptine. J Clin Periodontol. 2006;33:469-77.

14. Genco RJ, Ho AW, Grossi SG, Dunford RG, Tedesco LA. Relationship of stress, distress and inadequate coping behaviors to periodontal disease. J Periodontol. 1999;70:711-23.

15. Keyes CL. Mental illness and/or mental health? Investigating axioms of the complete state model of health. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2005;73:539-48.

16. Maia DB, Marmar CR, Metzler T, Nóbrega A, Berger W, Mendlowicz MV, et al. Post-traumatic stress symptoms in an elite unit of Brazilian police officers: prevalence and impact on psychosocial functioning and on physical and mental health. J Affect Disord. 2007;97:241-5.

17. Carlier IV, Lamberts RD, Gersons BP. The dimensionality of trauma: a multidimensional scaling comparison of police officers with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2000;97:29-39.

18. De Paula AM, Souza LR, Farias LC, Corrêa GT, Fraga CA, Eleutério NB, et al. Analysis of 724 cases of primary head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) with a focus on young patients and p53 immunolocalization. Oral Oncol. 2009;45:777-82.

19. Parra FC, Amado RC, Lambertucci JR, Rocha J, Antunes CM, Pena SD. Color and genomic ancestry in Brazilians. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:177-82.

20. Mari JJ, Williams P. A comparison of the validity of two psychiatric screening questionnaires (GHQ-12 and SRQ-20) in Brazil, using Relative Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis. Psychol Med. 1985;15:651-9.

21. Goldberg DP, Gater R, Sartorius N, Ustun TB, Piccinelli M, Gureje O, et al. The validity of two versions of the GHQ in the WHO study of mental illness in general health care. Psychol Med. 1997;27:191-7. 22. Peres MA, Antunes JL, Boing AF, Peres KG, Bastos JL. Skin colour is associated with periodontal disease in Brazilian adults: a population-based oral health survey. J Clin Periodontol. 2007;34:196-201.

23. McNutt LA, Wu C, Xue X, Hafner JP. Estimating the relative risk in cohort studies and clinical trials of common outcomes. Am J Epidemiol. 2003;157:940-3.

24. Pimenta JR, Zuccherato LW, Debes AA, Maselli L, Soares RP, Moura-Neto RS, et al. Color and genomic ancestry in Brazilians: a study with forensic microsatellites. Hum Hered. 2006;62:190-5.

25. Stein MB, Walker JR, Hazen AL, Forde DR. Full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder: findings from a community survey. Am J Psychiatry. 1997;154:1114-9.

26. Johnson GK, Guthmiller JM. The impact of cigarette smoking on periodontal disease and treatment. Periodontol 2000. 2007;44:178-94.

27. Palmer RM, Wilson RF, Hasan AS, Scott DA. Mechanisms of action of environmental factors--tobacco smoking. J Clin Periodontol. 2005;32 Suppl6:180-95.

28. Wendell KJ, Stein SH. Regulation of cytokine production in human gingival fibroblasts following treatment with nicotine and lipopolysaccharide. J Periodontol. 2001;72:1038-44.

29. Loe H, Theilade E, Jensen SB. Experimental gingivitis in man. J Periodontol. 1965;36:177-87.

30. Rosema NA, Timmerman MF, Versteeg PA, Van Palenstein Helderman WH, Van der Velden U, Van der Weijden GA. Comparison of the use of different modes of mechanical oral hygiene in prevention of plaque and gingivitis. J Periodontol. 2008;79:1386-94.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG). Dr Guimarães and Martelli-Júnior are research fellow of CNPq. Dr De Paula, Dr. Bonan and Dra. Martins are research fellow of FAPEMIG.