Journal section: Endodontics Publication Types: Research doi:10.4317/medoral.17751 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.17751

Effect of bleaching agents on sealing properties of different intraorifice barriers and root filling materials

Ebru Canoglu¹, Kamran Gulsahi², Cem Sahin³, Emre Altundasar⁴, Zafer C. Cehreli⁵

¹ DDS. Research Assistant, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
 ² DDS, PhD. Assistant Professor, Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey
 ³ DDS, PhD. Research Associate, Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
 ⁴ DDS, PhD. Research Associate, Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
 ⁵ DDS, PhD. Research Associate, Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
 ⁶ DDS, PhD. Research Associate, Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

⁵ DDS, PhD. Professor, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence: Department of Pediatric Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry Hacettepe University Sihhiye 06100 Ankara, Turkey zcehreli@gmail.com

Received: 14/06/2011 Accepted: 16/09/2011 Canoglu E, Gulsahi K, Sahin C, Altundasar E, Cehreli ZC. Effect of bleaching agents on sealing properties of different intraorifice barriers and root filling materials. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2012 Jul 1;17 (4):e710-5.

http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v17i4/medoralv17i4p710.pdf

Article Number: 17751 http://www.medicinaoral.com/ © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946 eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com Indexed in: Science Citation Index Expanded Journal Citation Reports Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed Scopus, Embase and Emcare Indice Médico Español

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the effect of intracoronal bleaching agents on the sealing properties of different intraorifice barriers and root filling materials.

Study Design: The root canals of extracted human premolars (n=180) were prepared by using System GT rotary files and filled with either gutta-percha+AH Plus or Resilon+Epiphany sealer. In both groups, the coronal 3mm of root filling was removed and replaced with one of the following materials applied as intraorifice barriers (n=30/group): 1. ProProot-MTA; 2. Conventional Glass ionomer cement; and 3. Hybrid resin composite. In each subgroup, intra-coronal bleaching was performed using either sodium perborate with distilled water or 35% hydrogen peroxide gel for 3 weeks. The leakage of specimens was measured using fluid-filtration and dye penetration tests. The data were analyzed statistically with One-way ANOVA, Repeated Measures t-test and Independent Samples t-test (p=0.05).

Results: The fluid conductance values of the test groups were not influenced by the type of the bleaching agent, the intraorifice barrier, or the root filling material (all p>0.05). However, the extent of dye leakage was significantly affected by the type of intraorifice barrier material (p<0.05), which showed the following statistical ranking: glass ionomer cement > resin composite > ProRoot-MTA (p<0.05).

Conclusions: The effect of 35% hydrogen peroxide gel or sodium perborate/distilled water on the sealing properties of tested intraorifice barriers and root filling materials varied conforming leakage assessment. These properties were not affected by using fluid filtration test, while the glass ionomer barrier showed the greatest amount of dye leakage in both gutta-percha and Resilon root-filled teeth.

Key words: Tooth Bleaching, root canal filling materials, glass ionomer cement, mineral trioxide aggregate, micro leakage.

Introduction

Ideally, the root canal filling material should provide a barrier by itself that prevents bacterial ingress from the oral cavity and travelling down the root canal and to periapical tissues (1). However, none of the current obturation materials/techniques are capable of providing such a level of seal. Both gutta-percha, in combination with sealers, and the relatively newer adhesive obturation systems such as Resilon and Epiphany fail to prevent leakage effectively within the root canal system (2). This problem has led to the recommendation that core materials be placed at the orifice of the root canal directly after the completion of orthograde root canal treatment (3).

Placement of a reliable intraorifice barrier is generally required during intracoronal bleaching of root-filled teeth. Hydrogen peroxide is the active ingredient of contemporary bleaching materials, which can be applied directly or can be produced by a chemical reaction from carbamide peroxide or sodium perborate (4). Because of its low molecular weight, hydrogen peroxide can penetrate the dentin and release oxygen that breaks the double bonds of the organic and inorganic compounds inside the dentinal tubules (5). Despite the lack of direct evidence, diffusion of bleaching agents through dentin tubules into periodontal tissues has often been associated with invasive cervical root resorption, the most dramatic adverse effect of the intracoronal bleaching technique (6,7). Thus, sealing the root canal orifice appears to be essential to prevent diffusion of bleaching agents from the pulpal chamber into the root canal and the cervical periodontal tissue (5,8,9). On the other hand, the sealing properties of restorative materials used as intraorifice barriers may be jeopardized by the negative effects of bleaching agents including changes in their chemical and physical properties (10). Because the severity of these effects could depend both on the bleaching agent (10) or the type of restorative material used (11,12), it is essential to evaluate the effects of non-vital bleaching agents on different intracoronal barrier materials.

Methods utilized for leakage assessment during intracoronal bleaching include dye penetration (13,14), fluid filtration (15), chemical (16) and microbial (16,17) tests. Thus, this study utilized fluid filtration and dye penetration tests to evaluate the effect of different bleaching agents on the sealing properties of different intraorifice barriers and root filling materials. The study tested the three-fold null hypothesis that the type of (1) bleaching agent, (2) intraorifice barrier, or (3) root filling material does not affect the intracoronal sealing ability as measured by the two aforementioned leakage tests.

Material and Methods

Tooth selection

Freshly-extracted, human mandibular premolars were selected on the basis of their macroscopically similar

size and straight roots, and stored in an aqueous solution of 0.5% chloramine-T at 4°C until experiments (a maximum of 1 month). The crowns were sectioned off below the cementoenamel junction, so that the length of all roots was adjusted to approximately 16 mm. Thereafter, all specimens were examined under a stereomicroscope (40X) to ensure the absence of cracks, leaving a total of 180 roots available for experimental procedures.

Specimen preparation

The canal length was determined with a #10 K-file, and the working length was determined by subtracting 1 mm from the canal length. The roots canals were instrumented with 0.4 taper System GT rotary files (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, USA) in conjunction with RC-Prep lubrication (Premier Dental Products, Tulsa, OK, USA) and 2 mL of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) irrigation between each file size. All canals were enlarged to ISO #40 to the working length. The root canals received a final irrigation of 5 mL 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 5 mL 5.25% NaOCl, after which the canals were flushed with 10 mL distilled water and dried with paper points.

The specimens were randomly assigned into two groups with respect to the root filling material used (n=90/group): Group I: Gutta-percha+AH Plus sealer (Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE, USA) and Group II: Resilon+Epiphany Sealer (Pentron Clinical Technologies, Wallingford, CT, USA). In both groups, #40 0.4taper single cones were used. The sealers were prepared and applied according to the manufacturer's directions. In group II, the specimens were light-cured from the coronal aspect using a quartz-tungsten-halogen unit for 40s. Following obturation procedures, approximately 3mm of root fillings were meticulously removed from their coronal aspect (18) with the aid of heated instruments and 70% alcohol-moistened micro brushes so as to prepare space for intraorifice barriers. In both obturation groups, specimens were further subgrouped with respect to the intraorifice barrier material placed over root fillings: (1) ProRoot-MTA, (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, USA), (2) Conventional glass ionomer cement (Fuji Triage, GC Corp, Tokyo, Japan), and (3) Hybrid resin composite (TPH Spectrum, Dentsply/Caulk) in conjunction with a total-etch dentin bonding agent (XP Bond, Dentsply/Caulk). The test materials were prepared and applied in strict accordance with the manufacturers' instructions. All materials were flush with the sectioned root surface. The specimens were stored at 37°C and 100% humidity for 1 week to allow the materials to set completely.

Bleaching procedures

10mm-long plastic rings were tightly adapted on the coronal portion of roots to serve as a bleaching cham*ber*. Two bleaching agents were tested: sodium perborate and 35% hydrogen peroxide. Sodium perborate tetrahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was combined with a vehicle (distilled water) to maintain a 2:1 ratio in solution (about 0.1 g sodium perborate and 0.05 ml distilled water) (19). 35% hydrogen peroxide was tested in the form of a commercial bleaching gel (Opalescence Endo, Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA). In both groups, 0.1g of the bleaching agent was placed into the bleaching chamber, after which the chamber was sealed with a temporary material (Cavit, 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany). After 7 days, Cavit was removed and the bleaching agent was washed out with air-water jet for 60s. Thereafter, a fresh portion of the bleaching agent was placed into the chamber. This procedure was repeated every 7 days for 3 weeks, in accordance with the walking bleach technique (19). In the control (no bleaching) groups, a cotton pellet soaked with distilled water was placed into the chamber and replaced every 3 weeks (19). During the bleaching procedures, the specimens were kept in an incubator at 37°C, wrapped in gauze soaked with distilled water.

Evaluation of Leakage

A modified fluid transport test was used to measure apical leakage (18). The leakage was quantified by following the movement of a tiny air bubble traveling within a constant bore a 100-µL micropipette. All pipettes, syringes, and plastic tubes used in the system were filled with deionized water. A micropipette was connected to the plastic tube, and this tube was connected to the root with epoxy resin (Pattex; Henkel, Düsseldorf, Germany). Water was drawn back approximately 2 mm with the microsyringe to introduce a tiny air bubble in the micropipette. The air bubble was adjusted to a suitable position within the micropipette with the syringe. Finally, regulated air from a pressure tank at 121.6 KPa (1,240 cmH₂O) (18) was applied from the coronal parts of the specimens, forcing water through any voids along the root canal filling. The water movement displacing the air bubble in the capillary tube was measured per unit of time. Linear displacement of this air bubble was converted to volume displacement and was recorded as the fluid transported. The values were expressed as μ L/min/cm H₂O. For specimens serving as the positive control, procedures for selection and instrumentation were the same as those described for the experimental groups, except that the prepared root canal space was not obturated. The fluid flow rate through the unfilled root canal was measured by recording the movement of the air bubble that could pass through the root canal in 1 minute (1428 µL/min/cm H₂O). This value served both as a positive control and as 100% leakage, to which the sealed values could be compared. The leakage values were measured by the fluid-filtration method at days 1 and 7. Except for the fluid-filtration measurements, the specimens were stored in 100% humidity during the entire experimental period.

Microleakage Evaluation

Following fluid filtration procedures, the specimens were assigned for the assessment of intracoronal dye leakage. The apices were sealed with sticky wax, after which the root surfaces were coated with two consecutive layers of nail varnish up to 1 mm from the coronal barrier margins. Samples were then immersed in 0.5% basic fuchsin solution at 37°C for 24h. Thereafter, specimens were rinsed thoroughly under tap water, and the nail varnish was removed with a scalpel. The roots were sectioned longitudinally in the buccolingual direction, and a digital photograph of each section was obtained at 20X under a stereomicroscope (Olympus; Tokyo, Japan). The images were transferred to a Macintosh PowerPC workstation, and the extent of dye penetration (from coronal to the most apical dye mark) (20) was measured (in mm) on digital images by using image analysis software (ImageJ for MacOSX; v.1.34, National Institutes of Health; Bethesda, MD, USA).

Statistical Analysis

The fluid conductance and dye penetration data were analyzed with SPSS statistical software, version 13.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). For the fluid filtration measurements, One-way ANOVA was used to determine the differences within and between the experimental and the control groups, respectively (p=0.05). Statistical comparisons between the 24h and one-week fluid conductance values were made with Repeated Measures t-test (p=0.05).

One-way ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls tests were used to determine statistical differences between the dye penetration values of the experimental and control groups (both p=0.05). Independent Samples t-test was used to compare the dye leakage values with respect to the root filling materials used (p=0.05).

Results

The fluid conductance values of the experimental (bleaching) and control groups at 24h and 1 week are presented in table 1 as mean \pm standard deviation. There were no significant differences among the experimental groups, among the control groups, and between the experimental and control groups (One-Way ANOVA, all p>0.05). Similarly, no significant differences were found between the 24h and 1-week fluid conductance values of the experimental or control groups. (Repeated Measures t-test, p>0.05).

The coronal dye penetration values (mm) are presented in table 2 as mean \pm standard deviation. Irrespective of the intraorifice barrier and/or root filling material used, both hydrogen peroxide gel and sodium perborate with distilled water yielded similar amounts of dye leakage (Independent samples t-test, p>0,05), and these values did not differ significantly from their respective control (no bleaching) groups (One-way ANOVA, p>0.05).

Bleaching			Control		
Group No.	24h	1 Week	Group No.	24h	1 Week
1. MTA+RES+H ₂ O ₂	0,029±0,0021	$0.027 \pm 0,0025$	1&7	0,028±0.0026	$0.027 \pm 0,0025$
2. MTA+GP+H ₂ O ₂	0,031±0,0021	$0.028 \pm 0,0025$	2 & 8	0,030±0,0025	$0.027 \pm 0,0026$
3. GIC+RES+H ₂ O ₂	0,027±0.0026	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$	3 & 9	0,028±0.0025	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$
4. GIC+GP+H ₂ O ₂	0,029±0,0039	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$	4 & 10	0,028±0.0025	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$
5. COMP+RES+H ₂ O ₂	0,032±0,0026	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$	5 &11	0,030±0,0025	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$
6. COMP+GP+H ₂ O ₂	0,032±0,0026	$0.028 \pm 0,0025$	6 &12	0,031±0,0024	$0.027 \pm 0,0025$
7. MTA+RES+SP	0,031±0,0021	$0.028 \pm 0,0025$			
8. MTA+GP+SP	0,033±0,0026	$0.026 \pm 0,0021$			
9. GIC+RES+SP	0,033±0,0026	$0.027 \pm 0,0025$			
10. GIC+GP+SP	0,031±0,0021	$0.028 \pm 0,0025$			
11. COMP+RES+SP	0,032±0,0026	$0.028 \pm 0,0025$			
12. COMP+GP+SP	0,033±0,0026	$0.027 \pm 0,0025$			

Table 1. Fluid conductance values (mean±SD) of the experimental and control groups at 24h and 1 week. The values are expressed in μ L/min/cm H₂Ox10⁻⁵.

RES= Resilon+Epiphany, GP=Gutta percha+AH Plus, GIC=Fuji Triage glass ionomer cement, COMP= TPH Hybrid resin composite,

H,O,= Opalescence Endo 35% hydrogen peroxide gel, SP= Sodium perborate tetrahydrate+distilled water.

Group No	Bleaching	Group No	Control	
1. MTA+RES+H ₂ O ₂	0.146 ± 0.10	1 & 7	$0,1520 \pm 0,10$	
2. MTA+GP+H ₂ O ₂	0.156 ± 0.08	2 & 8	$0,1540 \pm 0,07$	
3. GIC+RES+H ₂ O ₂	2.330 ± 0.07	3 & 9	$2,3420 \pm 0,06$	
4. GIC+GP+H ₂ O ₂	2.322 ± 0.07	4 &10	$2,3540 \pm 0,07$	
5. COMP+RES+H ₂ O ₂	0.462 ± 0.04	5 &11	$0,4660 \pm 0,04$	
6. COMP+GP+H ₂ O ₂	0.453 ± 0.04	6 &12	0,4710 ± 0,03	
7. MTA+RES+SP	0.156 ± 0.10			
8. MTA+GP+SP	0.152 ± 0.07			
9. GIC+RES+SP	2.321 ± 0.07			
10. GIC+GP+SP	2.344 ± 0.06			
11. COMP+RES+SP	0.477 ± 0.05			
12. COMP+GP+SP	0.468 ± 0.04			

 Table 2. The extent of coronal dye leakage in the experimental and control groups.

 The values (mm) are expressed as mean±SD.

RES= Resilon+Epiphany, GP=Gutta percha+AH Plus, GIC=Fuji Triage glass ionomer cement, COMP= TPH Hybrid resin composite,

 $\rm H_2O_2=$ Opalescence Endo 35% hydrogen peroxide gel, SP= Sodium perborate tetrahydrate+distilled water.

A comparison of dye penetration values within both the experimental and control groups showed that dye leakage was significantly affected by the type of intraorifice barrier material (One-way ANOVA, p<0.05). Accordingly, in both the experimental and control groups, the use of Fuji Triage glass ionomer cement as an intraorifice barrier resulted in the greatest amount of dye penetration (Student-Newman-Keuls test, p<0.05).

The following statistical ranking was observed with regard to the amount of leakage (in mm): glass ionomer cement> resin composite> ProRoot-MTA.

With regard to the effect of root filling materials on dye leakage, no comparison could be made in the MTA and resin composite groups, as the level of dye penetration did not exceed the apical level of those barrier materials. In the glass ionomer groups, the dye leakage extended below the intraorifice barrier, but showed similar levels of penetration in the gutta-percha and Resilon groups (Independent samples t-test, p>0.05).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate whether intracoronal bleaching with 35% hydrogen peroxide gel or sodium perborate with distilled water would influence the extent of fluid conductance and dye penetration of different intraorifice barriers and root filling materials. Although a bacterial leakage model may appear to be more clinically relevant compared with a fluid filtration model, the latter technique was used herein because it is currently the only nondestructive, quantitative testing method that enables measurement of microleakage from the same specimens at intervals over extended periods (21). The dye penetration test was used in conjunction with the fluid filtration model, as it allows assessment of regional (in this case, coronal) microleakage (22).

The present results showed that intracoronal bleaching with 35% hydrogen peroxide gel and sodium perborate with distilled water did not alter the fluid conductance values in comparison with their respective control groups. Since results of the dye penetration tests also yielded similar findings, it can be concluded that the tested bleaching agents do not jeopardize the sealing properties of the intraorifice barrier materials, leading to acceptance of the first null hypothesis. The fact that the bleaching agents did not cause further microleakage may possibly be due to their unstable chemical nature that is insufficient to disrupt the dentin-intraorifice barrier interface during the three successive weekly bleaching episodes (5,23). Thorough removal of the residual bleaching material after each weekly application may also have contributed to the diminished adverse chemical effect of hydrogen peroxide on dentin, which might render the tooth-barrier interface prone to microleakage (5, 24).

According to Wolcott et al (17), ideal properties of an

intraorifice barrier should include the following characteristics: 1. easily placed, 2. bonds to tooth structure, 3. seals against microleakage, 4. distinguishable from natural tooth structure, and 5. does not interfere with the final restoration. Fuji Triage is a pink-colored glass ionomer cement which satisfies the five criteria proposed for an ideal intraorifice barrier (17). The effectiveness of Fuji Triage as an intraorifice barrier has been demonstrated previously (25). Moreover, the sealing efficiency of Fuji Triage and a similar glass ionomer, Fuji II LC, have been found to be analogous to those of grey and white MTA intraorifice barriers, as verified with the bacterial leakage (26) and fluid transport (27) models, respectively. However, when a dye penetration method was employed, it was found that even a 4mm-thick glass-ionomer intraorifice barrier leaked more than MTA (14). The present results corroborate with those of the latter study, demonstrating that Fuji Triage displaved significantly less sealing capacity compared with MTA and the hybrid resin composite barriers. This finding also justifies our attempt to utilize a conventional dye penetration test as a complementary tool to determine the individual sealing properties of the intraorifice barriers and root filling materials, which has not been possible through use of the fluid transport model. Thus, the differences in the extent of dye leakage between the intraorifice barrier materials lead to partial rejection of the second null hypothesis. Unlike the MTA and hybrid resin composite groups, the dye leakage extended below the glass ionomer barrier, but showed similar levels of penetration in the gutta-percha and Resilon groups. Consequently, the third null hypothesis that there are no differences in the coronal sealing ability of tested root filling materials should be accepted. According to the present results, the sealing performance of TPH is comparable to that of MTA, which is known to possess outstanding sealing properties. Unlike MTA, the placement of an acid-etch composite resin material is technically challenging, but offers the clinical advantage of an on-demand set, which enables subsequent application of the bleaching agent at the same appointment (28).

In both the experimental and control (no bleaching) groups, it was observed that placement of an intraorifice barrier over the root canal obturation did not completely prevent fluid conductance and dye leakage through the dentin-barrier interface. Undoubtedly, placement of such a cervical base will reduce apical leakage of the bleaching agent compared to canals without such a base (13,29). The present results also imply that MTA and the hybrid composite may retain their sealing capacity in the post-bleaching phase, which is crucial to minimize the adverse effects of the inevitable, long-term coronal microleakage (23). However, the present experimental setup cannot determine if the bleaching agents penetrated into the dentin tubules from the canal wall towards

the root surface. Thus, while ideal sealing of the root canal obturation is a critical aspect of preventing the side-effects of intracoronal bleaching, providing protection against external cervical resorption still appears to be a major concern that has to be resolved.

Conclusion

The sealing properties of tested intraorifice barriers and root filling materials during intracoronal bleaching varied conforming leakage assessment. As verified with the fluid conductance test, the type of the bleaching agent (35% hydrogen peroxide gel or sodium perborate/distilled water) did not affect the sealing ability of the materials. However, glass ionomer barrier showed the greatest amount of dye leakage, followed by resin composite and ProRoot-MTA in both gutta-percha and Resilon root-filled teeth.

References

1. Torabinejad M, Ung B, Kettering JD. In vitro bacterial penetration of coronally unsealed endodontically treated teeth. J Endod. 1990;16:566-9.

2. Raina R, Loushine RJ, Weller RN, Tay FR, Pashley DH. Evaluation of the quality of the apical seal in Resilon/Epiphany and guttapercha/AH Plus-filled root canals by using a fluid filtration approach. J Endod. 2007;33:944 -7.

3. Pisano DM, DiFiore PM, McClanahan SB, Lautenschlager EP, Duncan JL. Intraorifice sealing of gutta-percha obturated root canals to prevent coronal microleakage. J Endod. 1998;24:659-62.

4. De Souza -Zaroni WC, Lopes EB, Ciccone-Nogueira JC, Silva RC. Clinical comparison between the bleaching efficacy of 37% carbamide perozide gel mized with sodium perborate with extablished intracoronal bleaching agent. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Radiol Endod. 2009;107;e43-7.

5. Plotino G, Buono L, Grande NM, Pameijer CH, Somma F. Nonvital tooth bleaching: a review of the literature and clinical procedures. J Endod. 2008;34:394-407.

6 . Feiglin B. A 6-year recall study of clinically chemically bleached teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1987;63:610-3.

7. Heithersay GS. Invasive cervical resorption: an analysis of potential predisposing factors.Quintessence Int. 1999;30:83-95.

8. Smith JJ, Cunningham CJ, Montgomery S. Cervical canal leakage after internal bleaching. J Endod. 1992;18:476-81.

9. Hansen-Bayless J, Davis R. Sealing ability of two intermediate restorative materials in bleached teeth. Am J Dent. 1992;5:151-4.

10. Attin T, Hannig C, Wiegand A, Attin R. Effect of bleaching on restorative materials and restorations—a systematic review. Dent Mater. 2004;20:852-61.

11. Taher NM. The effect of bleaching agents on the surface hardness of tooth colored restorative materials. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2005;6:18-26.

12. Yu H, Li Q, Hussain M, Wang Y. Effects of bleaching gels on the surface microhardness of tooth-colored restorative materials in situ. J Dent. 2008;36:261-7.

13. De Oliveira LD, Carvalho CA, Hilgert E, Bondioli IR, de Araújo MA, Valera MC. Sealing evaluation of the cervical base in intracoronal bleaching. Dent Traumatol. 2003;19:309-13.

14. Barrieshi-Nusair KM, Hammad HM. Intracoronal sealing comparison of mineral trioxide aggregate and glass ionomer. Quintessence Int. 2005;36:539-45.

15. Waite RM, Carnes DL Jr, Walker WA 3rd. Microleakage of TERM used with sodium perborate/water and sodium perborate/superoxol in the "walking bleach" technique. J Endod. 1998;24:648-50.

16. Chailertvanitkul P, Abbott PV, Riley TV, Sooksuntisakoonchai N. Bacterial and dye penetration through interim restorations used during endodontic treatment of molar teeth. J Endod. 2009;35:1017-22.

17. Wolcott JF, Hicks ML, Himel VT. Evaluation of pigmented intraorifice barriers in endodontically treated teeth. J Endod. 1999;25:589-92.

18. Fogel HM. Microleakage of posts used to restore endodontically treated teeth. J Endod. 1995;21:376-9.

19. De Oliveira DP, Teixeira EC, Ferraz CC, Teixeira FB.Effect of intracoronal bleaching agents on dentin microhardness. J Endod. 2007;33:460-2.

20. Nagas E, Cehreli ZC, Durmaz V, Vallittu PK, Lassila LV. Regional push-out bond strength and coronal microleakage of Resilon after different light-curing methods. J Endod. 2007;33:1464-8.

21. Uyanik MO, Nagas E, Sahin C, Dagli F, Cehreli ZC. Effects of different irrigation regimens on the sealing properties of repaired furcal perforations. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2009:107:e91-5.

22. Schäfer E, Olthoff G. Effect of three different sealers on the sealing ability of both thermafil obturators and cold laterally compacted gutta-percha. J Endod. 2002;28:638-42.

23. Rotstein I, Zyskind D, Lewinstein I, Bamberger N. Effect of different protective base materials on hydrogen peroxide leakage during intracoronal bleaching in vitro. J Endod. 1992;18:114-7.

24. Torneck CD, Titley KC, Smith DO, Adibfar A. Effect of water leaching the adhesion of composite resin to bleached and unbleached bovine enamel. J Endod. 1991;17:156-60.

25. Maloney SM, McClanahan SB, Goodell GG. The effect of thermocycling on a colored glass ionomer intracoronal barrier. J Endod. 2005;31:526-8.

26. Tselnik M, Baumgartner JC, Marshall JG. Bacterial leakage with mineral trioxide aggregate or a resin-modified glass ionomer used as a coronal barrier. J Endod. 2004;30:782-4.

27. John AD, Webb TD, Imamura G, Goodell GG. Fluid flow evaluation of Fuji Triage and gray and white ProRoot mineral trioxide aggregate intraorifice barriers. J Endod. 2008;34:830-2.

28. Llena C, Amengual J, Forner L. Sealing capacity of a photochromatic flowable composite as protective base in nonvital dental bleaching. Int Endod J. 2006;39:185-9.

29. Jack RM, Goodell GG. In vitro comparison of coronal microleakage between Resilon alone and gutta-percha with a glass-ionomer intraorifice barrier using a fluid filtration model. J Endod.2008;34:71-20.