

Journal section: Medically compromised patients in Dentistry
 Publication Types: Research

doi:10.4317/medoral.22918
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.22918>

Quality of life, work ability and oral health among patients with chronic liver diseases

Inácio Aguiar ¹, Liliane Lins-Kusterer ², Larissa-Souza-Santos Lins ³, Raymundo Paraná ⁴, Jorge Bastos ⁴, Fernando-Martins Carvalho ⁵

¹ Odontólogo, Mestre em Medicina e Saúde, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil

² Associate Professor, Doutora em Patologia Humana, Departamento de Medicina Preventiva e Social, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil

³ Estudante de Odontologia, Escola de Odontologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil

⁴ Professor Titular, Departamento de Medicina, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil

⁵ Professor Titular, Departamento de Medicina Preventiva e Social, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil

Correspondence:

Praça XV de Novembro

Largo do Terreiro de Jesus s/n

CEP 400260-10 Salvador, Bahia Brasil,

liliane.lins@ufba.br

Aguiar I, Lins-Kusterer L, Lins LSS, Paraná R, Bastos J, Carvalho FM.
 Quality of life, work ability and oral health among patients with chronic liver diseases. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2019 May 1;24 (3):e392-7.
<http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v24i3/medoralv24i3p392.pdf>

Received: 04/12/2018

Accepted: 04/02/2019

Article Number: 22918 <http://www.medicinaoral.com/>
 © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946
 eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com

Indexed in:

Science Citation Index Expanded
 Journal Citation Reports
 Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed
 Scopus, Embase and Emcare
 Índice Médico Español

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to explore the associations between health-related quality of life and work ability with the oral health status of patients with chronic liver disease.

Material and Methods: A cross-sectional study included 150 patients with chronic liver disease, consecutively seen at University Hospital, Salvador, Brazil. Oral health was evaluated by the Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (DMFT) index and by the presence of gingivitis and periodontitis. Salivary flow was “reduced” when <1.0 mL/min. Health-related quality of life was evaluated by using the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey questionnaire (SF-36); work ability was evaluated by the Work Ability Index questionnaire.

Results: All health-related quality of life indicators were systematically lower among the 99 patients with reduced salivary flow than among the 51 patients with normal salivary flow. Physical Functioning, Role-Physical, and Physical Component Summary scores were strongly correlated ($P < 0.005$ or less) with the number of Missing Teeth and with DMFT index. Reduced salivary flow was associated ($P < 0.05$) with poor work ability. Patients with poor or moderate work ability presented higher ($P < 0.001$) means of the DMFT index than those with good or excellent work ability.

Conclusions: Patients with chronic liver disease who present poor oral health presented low health-related quality of life and poor work ability. These findings reinforce the need of these patients for specialized stomatological care.

Key words: Xerostomia, dental health surveys, hepatitis, alcoholic liver disease.

Introduction

There is increasing concern about the biological, psychological, sociodemographic, clinical and therapeutic factors that may influence the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with chronic liver diseases (1). Poor HRQOL in such patients has been associated with depression (2) and cirrhosis complications, such as hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and haemorrhage due to oesophageal varices (3,4).

The compartmentalized development of scientific knowledge has segregated the mouth from the rest of the body. Further, oral health is hardly conceived as part of general health, perhaps because its social and material determinants are not adequately considered (5).

Several factors are associated with poor oral health, such as older age, low levels of education, low income, smoking, drinking, systemic diseases, and medications. Usually, oral health has been evaluated by using clinical criteria that are inadequate to measure the real impact of oral diseases on patient's life. The quality of cross-cultural adaptation of most oral health-related quality of life instruments has been criticized (6).

Oral manifestations in patients with chronic liver disease have been well described. These patients frequently present reduced salivary flow (7), making them more susceptible to the onset of periodontal diseases and caries. By their turn, such oral manifestations can contribute to the occurrence and worsening of severe complications, such as hepatic encephalopathy (8) and pyogenic liver abscess (9). A systematic review (10) found few published studies about the association between periodontal disease and liver cirrhosis. Most of these studies had cross-sectional design. Only one cohort study reported that mortality was lower among patients who underwent dental treatment versus those who were not treated (7).

To the best of our knowledge, only one preliminary study has reported the association between decreased work ability and oral disease in patients with chronic liver disease (11).

This study aimed to explore the associations between health-related quality of life and work ability with the oral health status of patients with chronic liver disease.

Material and Methods

-Study design and patient selection

A cross-sectional study with outpatients with chronic liver disease, aged 18 years or over, of both sexes, consecutively seen at the Hepatology Unit of the University Hospital, Federal University of Bahia, Brazil, between August 2015 and June 2016. Patients should have a MELD score (12) lower than 15. Patients unable to communicate or who had difficulty understanding the study questionnaires were excluded from the study.

-Data collection

Demographic and clinical information were collected from each patient by using a specific form, by only one dental doctor.

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) was taken as a dependent variable, evaluated by the validated Portuguese version of the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) (13), as recommended by QualityMetric Incorporated (14). The SF-36 has been used to evaluate the HRQOL of people all over the world, including patients with chronic diseases (15). The 36-question form refers to the previous 4-week period. These questions can be used to build eight domains - physical functioning, role limitations due to physical problems, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional problems, and mental health, which can be aggregated to a physical component summary and a mental component summary. The raw score of these measurements varies from 0 to 100, where 100 represents the best HRQOL. SF-36 scores were normalised, assuming a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10, taking the general population of the USA as a standard. A normalised score below 50 should therefore be interpreted as below the mean for the population of the USA (14). This study was licensed by QualityMetric Health Outcomes™ under number QM025905.

Work ability was also considered to be a dependent variable, measured by the Work Ability Index (16) questionnaire, using a version validated for Brazil (17,18). This instrument is based on self-assessment reports which measure work ability. The raw Work Ability Index score can vary from 7 to 49; but, for the purposes of this study, it was stratified into four categories, as recommended by its developers: 7-27 poor; 28-36 moderate; 37-43 good and 44-49 excellent (16).

The oral health status evaluation was made by the same dental doctor, following criteria recommended by the World Health Organization (17) and the European Association of Dental Public Health (19,20). The DMFT index and its components (number of decayed/missed/filled teeth) were determined. Periodontal disease was ascertained by measuring clinical attachment loss, probing pocket depth, tooth mobility, and panoramic radiography to assess intraosseous lesions. Stimulated saliva was collected in the morning, two hours after breakfast. Participants were asked to sit in a chair while saliva was stimulated by salivary mechanical stimulation using mechanical sialogogue for 2 min. Participants have expectorated the accumulated saliva into a graduated sterile tube. After 2 min, the amount of collected saliva was measured and expressed in mL/min. Stimulated salivary flow was defined as "reduced" when less than 1 mL/min (21).

-Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0.0 and the Open.Epi (Dean AG, Sullivan

KM, Soe MM. OpenEpi: Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, Version 2.3.1. www.OpenEpi.com, updated on 19/09/2010 and accessed on 04/01/2017) were used for data processing to obtain descriptive statistics and to perform bivariate analyses. SF-36 domains, their summary scores, and the Work Ability Index were considered as the main outcomes; salivary flow, the DMFT index and its components, and periodontal disease were the main predictors. T-tests were used to compare the means of the SF-36 domains and the summaries according to salivary flow strata (normal vs. reduced). T-tests were also used to compare DMFT means according to collapsed categories of the Work Ability Index. Differences between the Work Ability Index category proportions according to salivary flow strata were evaluated by using the chi-square test. Differences in SF-36 means and in Work Ability index proportions stratified according to sex, smoking, drinking, gingivitis, and periodontitis were analyzed by using t-tests and chi-squared testes, respectively. Spearman correlation coefficients (r_s) were calculated for data relating the SF-36 indicators with the DMFT index and its components.

-Ethical aspects

The study was approved by the Ethics Review Board (Opinion 711.945 dated 8 July 2014) of the School of Medicine at the Federal University of Bahia, in accordance with Brazilian National Health Council Resolution 466/2012 and the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki 2013. All patients signed an informed consent form prior to their inclusion in the study

Results

-Sociodemographic and clinical data

In the study group of 150 patients with chronic liver diseases, males, African-Brazilians, with low family incomes and low levels of education, predominated. A small proportion of the patients were current drinkers (6.0%) or current smokers (5.3%) (Table 1). The mean (\pm SD) age was 51.8 ± 11.1 years.

Hepatitis C (50.7%), hepatitis B (16.7%), and alcoholic liver disease (23.3%) were the most common etiologies of chronic liver disease. As comorbidities, type II diabetes was present in 20.0% of the patients, and arterial hypertension in 25.3%. Periodontitis and gingivitis were found in 42.0% and 44.7% of the patients, respectively; 66.0% had reduced salivary flow; and the mean DMFT index was 20.3 ± 8.1 . Low work ability was found in 36.7% of the 150 patients. All SF-36 normalised mean scores were systematically below 50 (Table 2).

-Oral health, health-related quality of life and work ability

The mean results of all the SF-36 indicators were systematically lower among patients with reduced salivary flow than in those with normal salivary flow. All comparisons

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics and habits of 150 patients with chronic liver disease.

Variables	n (%)
Sex	
Male	104 (69.3)
Female	46 (30.7)
Racial group	
Caucasian	36 (24.0)
African-Brazilian	114 (76.0)
Schooling (years)	
≤ 8	68 (45.4)
> 8	82 (54.6)
Family Income (In Brazilian Minimal Wages)	
< 1 to 1.99	96 (64.4)
2 to 4.99	49 (32.9)
≥ 5	4 (2.7)
Smoking habits	
Current	8 (5.3)
Past	62 (41.3)
None	80 (53.3)
Drinking habits	
Current	9 (6.0)
Past	103 (68.7)
None	38 (25.3)

showed statistical associations ($P < 0.05$ or less), except for the Vitality domain ($P = 0.110$) (Table 3).

The HRQOL scores for Physical Functioning, Role-Physical, and Physical Component Summary were strongly correlated ($P < 0.005$ or less) with the number of Missing Teeth and the DMFT index (Table 4).

Reduced salivary flow was more frequent ($P < 0.004$) among patients with poor or moderate work ability than in the set of patients with good or excellent work ability (Table 5).

Patients with poor or moderate work ability presented higher ($P < 0.0001$) means of the DMFT index (22.1 ± 8.1) than those with good or excellent work ability (17.1 ± 7.2).

Periodontitis and gingivitis were not significantly associated ($P > 0.05$) with health-related quality of life or with work ability (data not shown).

Table 2. Chronic liver diseases, comorbidities, oral health indices, work ability index and health-related quality of life (SF-36) in 150 patients with chronic liver disease.

Variables	Values
Chronic liver disease - n (%)	
Autoimmune	8 (5.3)
Budd-Chiari	1 (0.7)
Cryptogenic	4 (2.7)
Alcoholic liver disease	35 (23.3)
Familial amyloid polyneuropathy	1 (0.7)
Hepatitis B	25 (16.7)
Hepatitis C	76 (50.7)
Comorbidities - n (%)	
Diabetes	30 (20.0)
Arterial hypertension	38 (25.3)
Periodontal diseases - n (%)	
Gingivitis	67 (44.7)
Periodontitis	63 (42.0)
No periodontal disease	20 (13.3)
Salivary flow - n (%)	
Normal	51 (34.0)
Reduced	99 (66.0)
Work ability - n (%)	
Poor	55 (36.7)
Moderate	42 (28.0)
Good	46 (30.7)
Excellent	7 (4.7)
DMFT (mean \pm SD)	20.3 \pm 8.1
SF-36 (mean \pm SD)	
Physical Functioning	43.6 \pm 12.4
Role-Physical	41.7 \pm 11.3
Bodily Pain	45.8 \pm 11.5
General Health	42.4 \pm 11.1
Vitality	49.8 \pm 8.9
Social Functioning	45.5 \pm 10.1
Role-Emotional	38.1 \pm 12.5
Mental Health	44.9 \pm 9.6
Physical Component Summary	44.6 \pm 11.1
Mental Component Summary	44.1 \pm 9.3

The means for the SF-36 domains and the component summaries did not show striking differences ($P > 0.05$) according to sex, smoking, drinking, gingivitis or periodontitis. SF-36 domains and its component summaries did not show strong correlations with age, except for Physical Functioning (Spearman correlation coefficient = -0.18; $P = 0.02$). Work Ability Index strata did not vary significantly ($P > 0.05$) according to the same variables (data not shown).

Discussion

All SF-36 normalised mean scores for the patients with chronic liver disease fell well below 50 and were particularly low for the Role-Emotional domain (Table 2). Reference values for normalised scores were taken from the general population of the USA (15). Unfortunately, because of a lack of normalisation, we could not make adequate comparisons between the SF-36 scores in our study group and those from studies undertaken in Brazil. Most studies usually report “raw”, non-normalised, scores, therefore impairing meaningful comparisons between studies.

Reduced salivary flow was strongly associated with all the SF-36 indicators, except for Vitality. Sixty-six percent of patients with chronic liver disease in our study presented reduced salivary flow. This can reduce dental remineralization and antimicrobial activity in the mouth. Reduced salivary flow must be considered as an important factor that mediates the relationship between oral health and health-related quality of life in patients with chronic liver disease. Another study reported associations between reduced salivary flow and periodontal disease, caries, and oral mucosal lesions (7).

The mean of DMFT index was quite high in patients with chronic liver disease: 20.3 \pm 8.1. Both the DMFT and the number of Missing Teeth showed strong negative correlations with the HRQOL scores for Physical Functioning, Role-Physical, and Physical Component Summary. These indicators of poor oral health may affect certain basic functions, such as the ability to eat, speak, and socialize, impairing the individual's interpersonal relationships and, consequently, leading to poor health-related quality of life.

Classical epidemiological studies among Finnish workers associated work ability with employee well-being, organizational commitment (22), high productivity and high work quality (23). Some studies have emphasized health as a major determinant of work ability (22,24). Among patients with chronic liver disease, poor work ability was strongly associated with reduced salivary flow (Table 5) and precarious oral health status, as revealed by higher DMFT indices.

Periodontitis (42.0%) and gingivitis (44.7%) were frequently found in our patients, but these periodontal diseases were not associated with low health-related quality

Table 3. SF-36 normalised domains and summary scores according to salivary flow in 150 patients with chronic liver disease.

SF-36 (mean \pm SD)	Salivary Flow		P =
	Reduced (n = 99)	Normal (n = 51)	
Physical Functioning	41.3 \pm 12.3	48.2 \pm 11.3	0.001
Role-Physical	40.2 \pm 11.2	44.6 \pm 11.2	0.026
Bodily Pain	44.4 \pm 11.6	48.7 \pm 11.1	0.031
General Health	40.8 \pm 11.2	45.6 \pm 10.4	0.013
Vitality	49.1 \pm 9.4	51.5 \pm 7.9	0.110
Social Functioning	43.9 \pm 10.3	48.8 \pm 9.0	0.004
Role-Emotional	36.5 \pm 12.8	41.3 \pm 11.5	0.026
Mental Health	43.6 \pm 10.1	47.5 \pm 8.3	0.012
Physical Component Summary	42.8 \pm 11.2	48.1 \pm 10.4	0.006
Mental Component Summary	43.1 \pm 9.8	46.4 \pm 8.3	0.043

Link; Vitality domain ($P = 0.110$) (Table 3).

Table 4. Spearman correlation coefficients between SF-36 domains, summary scores (mean \pm SD) and DMFT index components in 150 patients with chronic liver disease.

SF-36	Decayed	Missing	Filled	DMFT
Physical Functioning	-0.01	-0.27 ^c	0.09	-0.27 ^c
Role-Physical	-0.05	-0.21 ^b	0.03	-0.24 ^b
Bodily Pain	0.02	-0.11	0.02	-0.09
General Health	-0.18	-0.10	0.07	-0.13
Vitality	0.01	-0.07	0.08	-0.04
Social Functioning	-0.02	-0.02	-0.00	-0.01
Role-Emotional	-0.08	-0.07	-0.05	-0.12
Mental Health	-0.05	-0.02	0.07	0.00
Physical Component Summary	-0.03	-0.24 ^b	0.08	-0.25 ^b
Mental Component Summary	-0.07	0.05	-0.01	0.04

^a $P < 0.05$; ^b $P < 0.005$; ^c $P < 0.0001$

Link; and the DMFT index (Table 4).

Table 5. Work ability according to salivary flow in 150 patients with chronic liver disease.

Salivary flow	Work ability								Total	
	Poor		Moderate		Good		Excellent			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Reduced	42	76.4	30	71.4	25	54.3	2	28.6	99	66.0
Normal	13	23.6	12	28.6	21	45.7	5	71.4	51	34.0
Total	55	100.0	42	100.0	46	100.0	7	100.0	150	100.0

(Poor + Moderate) vs. (Good + Excellent): X² 1 g.l. = 8.28; $P < 0.004$

Link: excellent work ability (Table 5).

of life or poor work ability. However, previous studies have found associations between periodontal disease and low quality of life (25-29). Most of these studies (25-28), have used the Oral Health Impact profile (OHIP-14) to measure the impact of oral disease on oral health-related quality of life. However, we opted to use the SF-36, a generic instrument that provides physical and mental summary components of the health-related quality of life. The SF-36 has been frequently used to evaluate health-related quality of life, including the oral health of patients with chronic pathologies, like chronic liver diseases (20) e HIV31. Some study limitations must be addressed. Cross-sectional design studies have inherent methodological limitations, such as the difficulty of establishing the correct temporal sequence between exposure and effect. This is an exploratory study, developed in a single reference centre for chronic liver disease, which implies low external validity. Small sample size in may lead to type II error. Despite this, this study found systematically lower means for SF-36 scores and poorer work ability among patients with chronic liver disease who presented reduced salivary flow. Small sample size precluded the possibility of performing a thorough evaluation of confounding and effect modification. However, we found that the main dependent variables (health-related quality of life and work ability) were not associated with certain covariables (sex, age, smoking, drinking, gingivitis, and periodontitis).

Conclusions

This study among patients with chronic liver disease found strong associations between poor oral health (reduced salivary flow or high DMFT index) with low health-related quality of life and with poor work ability. These findings reinforce the need of specialized stomatological care for patients with chronic liver diseases.

References

- Marchesini G, Bianchi G, Amodio P, Salerno F, Merli M, Panella C, et al. Factors associated with poor health-related quality of life of patients with cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology*. 2001;120:170-8.
- Bianchi G, Loguercio C, Sgarbi D, Abbiati R, Chen CH, Di Pierro M, et al. Reduced quality of life in patients with chronic hepatitis C: effects of interferon treatment. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2000;32:398-405.
- Younossi Z, Boparai N, Price L, Kiwi M, Guyatt G. Health-related quality of life assessment in chronic liver disease: impact of type and severity of disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2001;96:199-205.
- Dan A, Kallman J, Wheeler A, Younoszai Z, Collantes R, Bondini S, et al. Health-related quality of life in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;26:15-20.
- Sheiham A. Oral health, general health and quality of life. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2005;83:644-5.
- Castro RAL, Portela MC, Leão AT. Cross-cultural adaptation of quality of life indices for oral health. [Portuguese] *Cad Saude Publica*. 2007;23:2275-84.
- Lins L, Bittencourt PL, Evangelista MA, Lins R, Codes L, Cavalcanti AR, et al. Oral health profile of cirrhotic patients awaiting liver transplantation in the Brazilian Northeast. *Transplant Proc*. 2011;43:19-21.
- Lins L, Bastos J. Oral health protocol for liver transplant patients. *Transplant Technol*. 2014;2:e2.
- Ohya H, Nakasho K, Yamanegi K, Noiri Y, Kuhara A, Kato-Kogoe N, et al. An unusual autopsy case of pyogenic liver abscess caused by periodontal bacteria. *Jpn J Infect Dis*. 2009;62:1-3.
- Gronkjaer LL. Periodontal disease and liver cirrhosis: a systematic review. *SAGE Open Med*. 2015;3:1-11.
- Aguiar ILS, Lins L, Falcão AFP, Sarmiento VA, Santos PSS. Work capacity and its relationship to oral health patients with chronic liver disease. [Portuguese] *Rev Bras Med*. 2016;73:16-24.
- Lucey MR, Terrault N, Ojo L, Hay JE, Neuberger J, Blumberg E, Teperman LW et al. Long Term Management of the Successful Adult Liver Transplant: 2012 Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the American Society of Transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2013;19:3-26.
- Ciconelli RM, Ferraz MB, Santos W, Meinão I, Quaresma MR. Brazilian-Portuguese version of the SF-36. A reliable and valid quality of life outcome measure. [Portuguese] *Rev Bras Reumatol*. 1999;39:143-50.
- Saris-Baglama RN, Dewey CJ, Chisholm GB, Plumb E, King J, Rasicot P, et al. Quality Metric Health Outcomes TM Scoring Software 4.0. Lincoln, RI: QualityMetric Incorporated, 2010.
- Ware JE. SF-36 Health Survey Update. *Spine*. 2000;25:3130-39.
- Tuomi K, Ilmarinen J, Jahkola A, Katajarinne L, Tulkki A. Work Ability Index. 2nd revised ed. Helsinki: Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, 1998.
- Martinez MC, Latorre MRDO, Fischer FM. Validity and reliability of the Brazilian version of the Work Ability Index questionnaire. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2009;3:525-32.
- World Health Organization. Oral Health Surveys Basic Methods. 4th Ed., Geneva: WHO, 1997.
- Page RC, Eke PI. Case definitions for use in population-based surveillance of periodontitis. *J Periodontol*. 2007;78:1387-99.
- Leroy E, Eaton KA, Savage A. Methodological issues in epidemiological studies of periodontitis - how can it be improved? *BMC Oral Health*. 2010;10:8.
- Krasse B. Caries Risk: A Practical Guide for Assessment and Control. Chicago, IL: Quintessence; 1985.
- Tuomi K, Huuhtanen P, Nykyri E, Ilmarinen J. Promotion of work ability, the quality of work and retirement. *Occup Med*. 2001;51:318-24.
- Tuomi K, Vanhala S, Nykyri E, Janhonen M. Organizational practices, work demands and the well-being of employees: a follow-up study in the metal industry and retail trade. *Occup Med*. 2004;54:115-21.
- Sjögren-Rönkä T, Ojanen MT, Leskinen EK, Mustalampl ST, Mäki EA. Physical and psychosocial prerequisites of functioning in relation to work ability and general subjective well-being among office workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2002;28:184-90.
- Cunha-Cruz J, Hujoel PP, Kressin NR. Oral health-related quality of life of periodontal patients. *J Periodontol Res*. 2007;42:69-76.
- Ng SK, Leung WK. Oral health-related quality of life and periodontal status. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2006;34:4-22.
- Caglayan F, Altun O, Miloglu O, Kaya MD, Yilmaz AB. Correlation between oral health-related quality of life (OHQoL) and oral disorders in a Turkish patient population. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2009;14:3-8.
- Bernabe E, Marcenes W. Periodontal disease and quality of life in British adults. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2010;37:68-72.
- Needleman I, McGrath C, Floyd P, Biddle A. Impact of oral health on the life quality of periodontal patients. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2004;31:4-7.
- Lins L, Aguiar I, Carvalho FM, Souza L, Sarmiento V, Codes L, et al. Oral Health and Quality of Life in Candidates for Liver Transplantation. *Transplant Proc*. 2017;49:836-40.
- Vieira VC, Lins L, Sarmiento VA, Netto EM, Brites C. Oral health and health-related quality of life in HIV patients. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18:151.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exist.